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Building models for creating predictions based on empirical data is a current and active research topic with numerous applications. The amount of data we collect today increases rapidly resulting in high-dimensional datasets and corresponding regression or classification problems. There is a number of classical machine learning methods like support vector machines, neural networks, and decision trees, see e.g. [15, 1, 5], to tackle these problems. However, the question of how the predictions come to pass, i.e., the interpretability of these models, is ever more important. With this information one may omit certain (possibly expensive) measurements if they have a small influence or use it to influence predictions to a desired outcome. While there is new research in the area of interpretability of the classical methods, see e.g. [32, 23], those models do not intrinsically allow for it.

In this talk we present the approximation method introduced and applied in [26, 27, 28. It is based on the analysis of variance (ANOVA) decomposition, cf. [6, 29, 21, 19, 16, 13, for functions in $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ spaces and corresponding complete orthonormal systems. The ANOVA decomposition in this setting uniquely decomposes a function into terms that correspond to variable couplings or variable interactions. The assumption we use for tackling the curse of dimensionality is that the function has a low superposition dimension, i.e., it can be explained well by low-dimensional interactions, cf. [6, 19, 10, 24, 14]. In [26] we showed that functions of certain smoothness types have this property. Moreover, it has been theorized that most real word applications consist only of low-order interactions relating to sparsity-of-effects, cf. [35], or the Pareto principle. The method pairs this idea with the concept of grouped index sets together with grouped transformations we presented in [2]. We are able to use different orthonormal systems that allow for fast transformations. In particular, the grouped transformations are based on the non-equispaced fast Fourier transform and the non-equispaced fast cosine transform, see [18, 25, 17].

From the model we immediately obtain importance information on the variable couplings by using global sensitivity indices or Sobol indices, cf. 33, 34, 21, and sensitivity analysis, see [31]. Therefore, we are able interpret the model and gain information about the importance of attributes and attribute interactions. Moreover, we improve it by a number of techniques using this information. By the utilization of attribute rankings we can remove an unimportant variable entirely and reduce the dimensionality of the problem. It is also possible to find that the representation of the data in the groups, i.e., the ANOVA decomposition, is sparse. In this case we have that some variable interactions do not influence the approximation (significantly) and can therefore be discarded. These techniques allow us to build an active set of couplings that will in the end represent the model we use for predictions. This simultaneously gives us a control mechanism for the complexity of the model and combat overfitting.

The technique is also related to low-dimensional structures and active subspace methods [12, 8, 9] as well as random features [30, 7, 36, 20, 14]. The main difference to random features is that random features draws weights or in our language indices/frequencies at random and uses a different optimization problem.

We present numerical results, cf. [28], for synthetic test functions, the Friedman functions, see [22, 3, 4], as an example of how it performs on synthetic data with Gaussian noise and compare our findings to previously obtained benchmark results in the same setting. Moreover, we show performance on application datasets from the UCI database [11] and other sources. Each datasets provides a different challenge and we compare our results to different machine learning methods. We observe very promising results and in many cases outperform previous benchmark experiments.
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