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## 0. Motivation and introduction

Question?
For $n \times n$ positive definite matrices $A, B$ and $0<t<1$, the well-known convergences of Lie-Trotter type are:

- $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0}\left((1-t) A^{\alpha}+t B^{\alpha}\right)^{1 / \alpha}=\exp ((1-t) \log A+t \log B)$,
- $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0}\left(A^{\alpha} \#_{\alpha} B^{\alpha}\right)^{1 / \alpha}=\exp ((1-t) \log A+t \log B)$.

What is the Riemannian geometry behind?
Can we explain these convergences in terms of Riemannian geometry?

## Notation

- $\mathbb{M}_{n}$ (the $n \times n$ complex matrices) is a Hilbert space with respect to the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product $\langle X, Y\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}}:=\operatorname{Tr} X^{*} Y$.
- $\mathbb{H}_{n}$ (the $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices) is a real subspace of $\mathbb{M}_{n}$, $\cong$ the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n^{2}}$.
- $\mathbb{P}_{n}$ (the $n \times n$ positive definite matrices) is an open subset of $\mathbb{H}_{n}$, a smooth differentiable manifold with $T_{D} \mathbb{P}_{n}=\mathbb{H}_{n}$.
- $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ (the $n \times n$ positive definite matrices of trace 1 ) is a smooth differentiable submanifold of $\mathbb{P}_{n}$ with $T_{D} \mathcal{D}_{n}=\left\{H \in \mathbb{H}_{n}: \operatorname{Tr} H=0\right\}$.

A Riemannian metric $K_{D}(H, K)$ is a family of inner products on $\mathbb{H}_{n}$ depending smoothly on the foot point $D \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$.

For $D \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$, write

$$
\mathbf{L}_{D} X:=D X \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{R}_{D} X:=X D, \quad X \in \mathbb{M}_{n}
$$

$\mathbf{L}_{D}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{D}$ are commuting positive operators on $\left(\mathbb{M}_{n},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}}\right)$.

Statistical Riemannian metric [Mostow, Skovgaard, Ohara-Suda-Amari, Lawson-Lim, Moakher, Bhatia-Holbrook]

$$
g_{D}(H, K):=\operatorname{Tr} D^{-1} H D^{-1} K=\left\langle H,\left(\mathbf{L}_{D} \mathbf{R}_{D}\right)^{-1} K\right\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}}
$$

This is considered as a geometry on the Gaussian distributions $p_{D}$ with zero mean and covariance matrix $D$. The Boltzmann entropy is

$$
S\left(p_{D}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \log (\operatorname{det} D)+\text { const. }
$$

and

$$
g_{D}(H, K)=\left.\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s \partial t} S\left(p_{D+s H+t K}\right)\right|_{s=t=0} \quad \text { (Hessian). }
$$

Congruence-invariant For any invertible $X \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$,

$$
g_{X D X^{*}}\left(X H X^{*}, X K X^{*}\right)=g_{D}(H, K)
$$

Geodesic curve

$$
\gamma(t)=A \#_{t} B:=A^{1 / 2}\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{t} A^{1 / 2} \quad(0 \leq t \leq 1)
$$

The geodesic midpoint $\gamma(1 / 2)$ is the geometric mean $A \# B$ [Pusz-Woronowicz].

Geodesic distance

$$
\delta(A, B)=\left\|\log \left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}}
$$

This is the so-called Thompson metric.

Monotone metrics [Petz]

$$
K_{\beta(D)}(\beta(X), \beta(Y)) \leq K_{D}(X, Y)
$$

if $\beta: \mathbb{M}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{m}$ is completely positive and trace-preserving.
Theorem (Petz, 1996) There is a one-to-one correspondence: $\left\{\right.$ monotone metrics with $\left.K_{D}(I, I)=\operatorname{Tr} D^{-1}\right\}$ $\uparrow$ $\{$ operator monotone functions $f:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ with $f(1)=1\}$ by

$$
K_{D}^{f}(X, Y)=\left\langle X,\left(\mathbf{J}_{D}^{f}\right)^{-1} Y\right\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}}, \quad \mathbf{J}_{D}^{f}:=f\left(\mathbf{L}_{D} \mathbf{R}_{D}^{-1}\right) \mathbf{R}_{D}
$$

$K_{D}^{f}$ is symmetric (i.e., $K_{D}^{f}(X, Y)=K_{D}^{f}\left(Y^{*}, X^{*}\right)$ ) if and only if $f$ is symmetric, (i.e., $x f\left(x^{-1}\right)=f(x)$ ).

A symmetric monotone metric is also called a quantum Fisher information.

Theorem (Kubo-Ando, 1980) There is a one-to-one correspondence: $\{$ operator means $\sigma$ \}
\{operator monotone functions $f:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ with $f(1)=1\}$
by

$$
A \sigma_{f} B=A^{1 / 2} f\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right) A^{1 / 2}, \quad A, B \in \mathbb{P}_{n}
$$

$\sigma_{f}$ is symmetric if and only if $f$ is symmetric.

Quantum skew information
When $0<p<1$, the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information is

$$
I_{D}^{\mathrm{WYD}}(p, K):=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[D^{p}, K\right]\left[D^{1-p}, K\right]=\frac{p(1-p)}{2} K_{D}^{f_{p}}(i[D, K], i[D, K])
$$

for $D \in \mathcal{D}_{n}, K \in \mathbb{H}_{n}$, where $f_{p}$ is an operator monotone function:

$$
f_{p}(x):=p(1-p) \frac{(x-1)^{2}}{\left(x^{p}-1\right)\left(x^{1-p}-1\right)}
$$

For each operator monotone function $f$ that is regular (i.e., $f(0):=\lim _{x \backslash 0} f(x)>0$ ), Hansen introduced the metric adjusted skew information (or quantum skew information):

$$
I_{D}^{f}(K):=\frac{f(0)}{2} K_{D}^{f}(i[D, K], i[D, K]), \quad D \in \mathcal{D}_{n}, K \in \mathbb{H}_{n}
$$

Generalized covariance
For an operator monotone function $f$,

$$
\varphi_{D}[H, K]:=\left\langle H, \mathbf{J}_{D}^{f} K\right\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}}, \quad D \in \mathcal{D}_{n}, H, K \in \mathbb{H}_{n}, \operatorname{Tr} H=\operatorname{Tr} K=0,
$$

$\varphi_{D}[H, K]=\operatorname{Tr} D H K$ if $D$ and $K$ are commuting.
Motivation The above quantities are Riemannian metrics in the form

$$
K_{D}^{\phi}(H, K):=\left\langle H, \phi\left(\mathbf{L}_{D}, \mathbf{L}_{R}\right)^{-1} K\right\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{k} \phi\left(\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{Tr} P_{i} H P_{j} K,
$$

where $D=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} P_{i}$ is the spectral decomposition, and the kernel function $\phi:(0, \infty) \times(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is in the form

$$
\phi(x, y)=M(x, y)^{\theta},
$$

a degree $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ power of a certain mean $M(x, y)$. A systematic study is desirable, from the viewpoints of geodesic curves, scalar curvature, information geometry, etc.

1. Geodesic shortest curve and geodesic distance

Let $\mathfrak{M}_{0}$ denote the set of smooth symmetric homogeneous means $M:(0, \infty) \times(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ satisfying

- $M(x, y)=M(y, x)$,
- $M(\alpha x, \alpha y)=\alpha M(x, y), \alpha>0$,
- $M(x, y)$ is non-decreasing and smooth in $x, y$,
- $\min \{x, y\} \leq M(x, y) \leq \max \{x, y\}$.

For $M \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, define $\phi(x, y):=M(x, y)^{\theta}$ and consider a Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{P}_{n}$ given by

$$
K_{D}^{\phi}(H, K):=\left\langle H, \phi\left(\mathbf{L}_{D}, \mathbf{R}_{D}\right)^{-1} K\right\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}}, \quad D \in \mathbb{P}_{n}, H, K \in \mathbb{H}_{n}
$$

When $D=U \operatorname{Diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) U^{*}$ is the diagonalization,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi\left(\mathbf{L}_{D}, \mathbf{R}_{D}\right)^{-1 / 2} H=U\left(\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\phi\left(\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j}\right)}}\right]_{i j} \circ\left(U^{*} H U\right)\right) U^{*}, \\
K_{D}^{\phi}(H, H)=\left\|\phi\left(\mathbf{L}_{D}, \mathbf{R}_{D}\right)^{-1 / 2} H\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^{2}=\left\|\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\phi\left(\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j}\right)}}\right]_{i j} \circ\left(U^{*} H U\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\circ$ denotes the Schur product.

For a $C^{1}$ curve $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{n}$, the length of $\gamma$ is

$$
L_{\phi}(\gamma):=\int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{K_{\gamma(t)}^{\phi}\left(\gamma^{\prime}(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right)} d t=\int_{0}^{1}\left\|\phi\left(\mathbf{L}_{\gamma(t)}, \mathbf{R}_{\gamma(t)}\right)^{-1 / 2} \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\text {HS }} d t .
$$

The geodesic distance between $A, B$ is

$$
\delta_{\phi}(A, B):=\inf \left\{L_{\phi}(\gamma): \gamma \text { is a } C^{1} \text { curve joining } A, B\right\} .
$$

A geodesic shortest curve is a $\gamma$ joining $A, B$ s.t. $L_{\phi}(\gamma)=\delta_{\phi}(A, B)$ if exists.

When $\phi(x, y):=M(x, y)^{\theta}$ for $M \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ as above,
Theorem Assume $A, B \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$ are commuting (i.e., $A B=B A$ ). Then, independently of the choice of $M \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}$, the following hold:

- The geodesic distance between $A, B$ is

$$
\delta_{\phi}(A, B)= \begin{cases}\frac{2}{|2-\theta|}\left\|A^{\frac{2-\theta}{2}}-B^{\frac{2-\theta}{2}}\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}} & \text { if } \theta \neq 2 \\ \|\log A-\log B\|_{\mathrm{HS}} & \text { if } \theta=2\end{cases}
$$

- A geodesic shortest curve joining $A, B$ is

$$
\gamma(t):=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\left((1-t) A^{\frac{2-\theta}{2}}+t B^{\frac{2-\theta}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{2-\theta}}, & 0 \leq t \leq 1 & \text { if } \theta \neq 2 \\
\exp ((1-t) \log A+t \log B), & 0 \leq t \leq 1 & \text { if } \theta=2
\end{array}\right.
$$

- If $M(x, y)$ is an operator monotone mean and $\theta=1$, then $\gamma(t)=\left((1-t) A^{1 / 2}+t B^{1 / 2}\right)^{2}, 0 \leq t \leq 1$, is a unique geodesic shortest curve joining $A, B$.

Theorem $\left(\mathbb{P}_{n}, K^{\phi}\right)$ is complete (i.e., the geodesic distance $\delta_{\phi}(A, B)$ is complete) if and only if $\theta=2$.

Proposition For every $M \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}$ and $A, B \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$ there exists a smooth geodesic shortest curve for $K^{\phi}$ joining $A, B$ whenever $\theta$ is sufficiently near 2 depending on $M$ and $A, B$.
2. Characterizing isometric transformation

For $N, M \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}$ and $\kappa, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$, define $\psi, \phi:(0, \infty) \times(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ by

$$
\psi(x, y):=N(x, y)^{\kappa}, \quad \phi(x, y):=M(x, y)^{\theta}
$$

and Riemannian metrics $K^{\psi}, K^{\phi}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{D}^{\psi}(H, K) & :=\left\langle H, \psi\left(\mathbf{L}_{D}, \mathbf{R}_{D}\right)^{-1} K\right\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}} \\
K_{D}^{\phi}(H, K) & :=\left\langle H, \phi\left(\mathbf{L}_{D}, \mathbf{R}_{D}\right)^{-1} K\right\rangle_{\mathrm{HS}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$F:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is an onto smooth function such that $F^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ for all $x>0$.

Theorem When $\alpha>0$, the transformation $D \in \mathbb{P}_{n} \mapsto F(D) \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$ is isometric from $\left(\mathbb{P}_{n}, \alpha^{2} K^{\phi}\right)$ onto $\left(\mathbb{P}_{n}, K^{\psi}\right)$ if and only if one of the following ( $1^{\circ}$ )-( $5^{\circ}$ ) holds:
$\left(\mathbf{1}^{\circ}\right) \kappa=\theta=0$ and $F(x)=\alpha x, x>0 .\left(N, M\right.$ are irrelevant; $K^{\psi}$ and $K^{\phi}$ are the Euclidean metric.)
$\left(2^{\circ}\right) \kappa=0, \theta \neq 0,2$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x) & =\alpha\left|\frac{2}{2-\theta}\right| x^{\frac{2-\theta}{2}}, \quad x>0, \\
M(x, y) & =\left(\frac{2-\theta}{2} \cdot \frac{x-y}{x^{\frac{2-\theta}{2}}-y^{\frac{2-\theta}{2}}}\right)^{2 / \theta}, \quad x, y>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

( $N$ is irrelevant; $K^{\phi}$ is a pull-back of the Euclidean metric.)
$\left(3^{\circ}\right) \kappa \neq 0,2, \theta=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x) & =\left(\alpha\left|\frac{2-\kappa}{2}\right| x\right)^{\frac{2}{2-\kappa}}, \quad x>0, \\
N(x, y) & =\left(\frac{2-\kappa}{2} \cdot \frac{x-y}{x^{\frac{2-\kappa}{2}}-y^{\frac{2-\kappa}{2}}}\right)^{2 / \kappa}, \quad x, y>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

( $M$ is irrelevant.)
$\left(4^{\circ}\right) \kappa, \theta \neq 0,2$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x) & =\left(\alpha\left|\frac{2-\kappa}{2-\theta}\right|\right)^{\frac{2}{2-\kappa}} x^{\frac{2-\theta}{2-\kappa}}, \quad x>0, \\
M(x, y) & =\left(\frac{2-\theta}{2-\kappa} \cdot \frac{x-y}{x^{\frac{2-\theta}{2-\kappa}}-y^{\frac{2-\theta}{2-\kappa}}}\right)^{2 / \theta} N\left(x^{\frac{2-\theta}{2-\kappa}}, y^{\frac{2-\theta}{2-\kappa}}\right)^{\kappa / \theta}, \quad x, y>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(5^{\circ}\right) \kappa=\theta=2$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x) & =c x^{\alpha}, \quad x>0 \quad(c>0 \text { is a constant }), \\
M(x, y) & =\alpha\left(\frac{x-y}{x^{\alpha}-y^{\alpha}}\right) N\left(x^{\alpha}, y^{\alpha}\right), \quad x, y>0
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x) & =c x^{-\alpha}, \quad x>0 \quad(c>0 \text { is a constant }), \\
M(x, y) & =\alpha\left(\frac{x-y}{y^{-\alpha}-x^{-\alpha}}\right) N\left(x^{-\alpha}, y^{-\alpha}\right), \quad x, y>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Two kinds of isometric families of Riemannian metrics

For $N \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}, \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{2\}, \theta \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,2\}$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{\kappa, \theta}(x, y) & :=\left(\frac{2-\theta}{2-\kappa} \cdot \frac{x-y}{x^{\frac{2-\theta}{2-\kappa}}-y^{\frac{2-\theta}{2-\kappa}}}\right)^{2 / \theta} N\left(x^{\frac{2-\theta}{2-\kappa}}, y^{\frac{2-\theta}{2-\kappa}}\right)^{\kappa / \theta}, \\
N_{\alpha}(x, y) & :=\alpha\left(\frac{x-y}{x^{\alpha}-y^{\alpha}}\right) N\left(x^{\alpha}, y^{\alpha}\right), \quad x, y>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $N_{0, \theta}$ 's are Stolarsky means

$$
S_{\theta}(x, y):=\left(\frac{2-\theta}{2} \cdot \frac{x-y}{x^{\frac{2-\theta}{2}}-y^{\frac{2-\theta}{2}}}\right)^{2 / \theta}
$$

interpolating the following typical means:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{-2}(x, y) & =M_{\mathrm{A}}(x, y) \\
S_{1}(x, y) & :=\frac{x+y}{2} \quad \text { (arithmetic mean) } \\
S_{\sqrt{ }}(x, y) & :=\left(\frac{\sqrt{x}+\sqrt{y}}{2}\right)^{2} \quad \text { (root mean) } \\
S_{2}(x, y):=\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 2} S_{\theta}(x, y) & =M_{\mathrm{L}}(x, y) \\
S_{4}(x, y) & :=\frac{x-y}{\log x-\log y} \quad \text { (logarithmic mean), } \\
M_{\mathrm{G}}(x, y) & :=\sqrt{x y} \quad \text { (geometric mean). }
\end{aligned}
$$

The metric corresponding to the root mean (called the Wigner-Yanase metric) is a unique monotone metric that is a pull-back of the Euclidean metric [Gibilisco-Isola].

## Proposition

(a) For any $N \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}, \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{2\}$, and $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,2\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{\kappa, \theta}(x, y)= & S_{\frac{2(\theta-\kappa)}{2-\kappa}}(x, y)^{\frac{2(\theta-\kappa)}{\theta(2-\kappa)}} N\left(x^{\frac{2-\theta}{2-\kappa}}, y^{\frac{2-\theta}{2-\kappa}}\right)^{\kappa / \theta}, \\
& \lim _{\theta \rightarrow 2} N_{\kappa, \theta}(x, y)=M_{\mathrm{L}}(x, y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $0 \leq \kappa \leq \theta<2$ or $2<\theta \leq \kappa$, then $N_{\kappa, \theta} \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}$.
(b) For any $N \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{\alpha}(x, y)=S_{2-2 \alpha}(x, y)^{1-\alpha} N\left(x^{\alpha}, y^{\alpha}\right) \\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} N_{\alpha}(x, y)=M_{\mathrm{L}}(x, y)
\end{gathered}
$$

If $0<\alpha \leq 1$, then $N_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}$.

For any $N \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}$, the above theorem and proposition show:

- When $\kappa \geq 0$ and $\kappa \neq 2$,
$K^{N_{k, \theta}^{\theta}}(\kappa \leq \theta<2$ or $\kappa \geq \theta>2)$ is a one-parameter isometric family of Riemannian metrics starting from $K^{N^{\kappa}}$ and converging to $K^{M_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}}$ as $\theta \rightarrow 2$.
- When $\kappa=2$,
$K^{N_{\alpha}^{2}}(1 \geq \alpha>0)$ is a one-parameter isometric family of Riemannian metrics starting from $K^{N^{2}}$ and converging to $K^{M_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}}$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

Claim The metric $K^{M_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}}$ is an attractor among the Riemannian metrics $K^{M^{\theta}}\left(M \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}, \theta \geq 0\right)$.

The geodesic shortest curve for $K^{M_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}}$ joining $A, B \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$ is

$$
\gamma_{A, B}(t):=\exp ((1-t) \log A+t \log B) \quad(0 \leq t \leq 1)
$$

The geodesic distance between $A, B$ with respect to $K^{M_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}}$ is

$$
\delta_{M_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}}(A, B):=\|\log A-\log B\|_{\mathrm{HS}} .
$$

Theorem Let $N \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}$ and $A, B \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$ be arbitrary.
(a) For the one-parameter family $K^{N_{\kappa, \theta}^{\theta}}(0 \leq \kappa \leq \theta<2$ or $\kappa \geq \theta>2)$,

$$
\delta_{N_{k, \theta}^{\theta}}(A, B)=\delta_{N^{\kappa}}\left(A_{k, \theta}, B_{\kappa, \theta}\right) \longrightarrow\|\log A-\log B\|_{\mathrm{HS}} \quad(\theta \rightarrow 2),
$$

where

$$
A_{\kappa, \theta}:=\left(\frac{2-\kappa}{2-\theta}\right)^{\frac{2}{2-\kappa}} A^{\frac{2-\theta}{2-\kappa}}, \quad B_{\kappa, \theta}:=\left(\frac{2-\kappa}{2-\theta}\right)^{\frac{2}{2-\kappa}} B^{\frac{2-\theta}{2-\kappa}} .
$$

(b) For the one-parameter family $K^{N_{\alpha}^{2}}(1 \geq \alpha>0)$,

$$
\delta_{N_{\alpha}^{2}}(A, B)=\frac{1}{\alpha} \delta_{N^{2}}\left(A^{\alpha}, B^{\alpha}\right) \longrightarrow\|\log A-\log B\|_{\mathrm{HS}} \quad(\alpha \searrow 0)
$$

Theorem Let $N \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}$ and $A, B \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$ be arbitrary. In the following, assume that geodesic shortest curves are always parametrized under constant speed.
(a) If $\gamma_{A_{\kappa, \theta}, B_{\kappa, \theta}}(t)$ is the geodesic shortest curve for $K^{N^{\kappa}}$ joining $A_{\kappa, \theta}, B_{\kappa, \theta}$, then the geodesic shortest curve for $K^{N_{\kappa, \theta}^{\theta}}$ joining $A, B$ is given by $\left(\frac{2-\theta}{2-\kappa}\right)^{\frac{2}{2-\theta}}\left(\gamma_{A_{\kappa, \theta}, B_{\kappa, \theta}}(t)\right)^{\frac{2-\kappa}{2-\theta}}$ and
$\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 2}\left(\frac{2-\theta}{2-\kappa}\right)^{\frac{2}{2-\theta}}\left(\gamma_{A_{\kappa, \theta}, B_{\kappa, \theta}}(t)\right)^{\frac{2-\kappa}{2-\theta}}=\exp ((1-t) \log A+t \log B) \quad(0 \leq t \leq 1)$.
(b) If $\gamma_{A^{\alpha}, B^{\alpha}}(t)$ is the geodesic shortest curve for $K^{N^{2}}$ joining $A^{\alpha}, B^{\alpha}$, then the geodesic shortest curve for $K^{N_{\alpha}^{2}}$ joining $A, B$ is given by $\left(\gamma_{A^{\alpha}, B^{\alpha}}(t)\right)^{1 / \alpha}$ and

$$
\lim _{\alpha \searrow 0}\left(\gamma_{A^{\alpha}, B^{\alpha}}(t)\right)^{1 / \alpha}=\exp ((1-t) \log A+t \log B) \quad(0 \leq t \leq 1)
$$

The above convergences for the geodesic shortest curves may be considered as variations of the Lie-Trotter formula.

Examples

- When $\kappa=0, N_{0, \theta}=S_{\theta}$ is the family of Stolarsky means. The geodesic distance and the geodesic shortest curve for $K^{S_{\theta}^{\theta}}$ are

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{S_{\theta}^{\theta}}(A, B)=\frac{2}{|2-\theta|}\left\|A^{\frac{2-\theta}{2}}-B^{\frac{2-\theta}{2}}\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}} \\
\gamma_{A, B}(t)=\left((1-t) A^{\frac{2-\theta}{2}}+t B^{\frac{2-\theta}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{2-\theta}}
\end{gathered}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 2} \frac{2}{|2-\theta|}\left\|A^{\frac{2-\theta}{2}}-B^{\frac{2-\theta}{2}}\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}}=\|\log A-\log B\|_{\mathrm{HS}}, \\
\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 2}\left((1-t) A^{\frac{2-\theta}{2}}+t B^{\frac{2-\theta}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{2-\theta}}=\exp ((1-t) \log A+t \log B) .
\end{gathered}
$$

- When $N=M_{\mathrm{G}}$ (geometric mean), $K^{M_{\mathrm{G}}^{2}}$ is the statistical Riemannian metric and $N_{\alpha}(x, y)=\alpha\left(\frac{x-y}{x^{\alpha}-y^{\alpha}}\right)(x y)^{\alpha / 2}, x, y>0$. The geodesic distance and the geodesic shortest curve for $K^{N_{\alpha}^{2}}$ are

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{N_{\alpha}^{2}}(A, B)=\frac{1}{\alpha} \delta_{M_{\mathrm{G}}^{2}}\left(A^{\alpha}, B^{\alpha}\right)=\left\|\log \left(A^{-\alpha / 2} B^{\alpha} A^{-\alpha / 2}\right)^{1 / \alpha}\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}} \\
\gamma_{A, B}(t)=\left(A^{\alpha} \#_{t} B^{\alpha}\right)^{1 / \alpha}
\end{gathered}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0}\left\|\log \left(A^{-\alpha / 2} B^{\alpha} A^{-\alpha / 2}\right)^{1 / \alpha}\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}}=\|\log A-\log B\|_{\mathrm{HS}} \quad \text { (decreasing) }, \\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0}\left(A^{\alpha} \#_{t} B^{\alpha}\right)^{1 / \alpha}=\exp ((1-t) \log A+t \log B)
\end{gathered}
$$

Remark When $\sigma$ is an operator mean corresponding to an operator monotone function $f$ and $s:=f^{\prime}(1)$,

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0}\left(A^{\alpha} \sigma B^{\alpha}\right)^{1 / \alpha}=\exp ((1-s) \log A+s \log B)
$$

## 4. Comparison property

Theorem Let $\phi^{(1)}, \phi^{(2)}:(0, \infty) \times(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be smooth symmetric
kernel functions. The following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\phi^{(1)}(x, y) \leq \phi^{(2)}(x, y)$ for all $x, y>0$;
(ii) $K_{D}^{\phi^{(1)}}(H, H) \geq K_{D}^{\phi^{(2)}}(H, H)$ for all $D \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$ and $H \in \mathbb{H}_{n}$;
(iii) $L_{\phi^{(1)}}(\gamma) \geq L_{\phi^{(2)}}(\gamma)$ for all $C^{1}$ curve $\gamma \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$;
(iv) $\delta_{\phi^{(1)}}(A, B) \geq \delta_{\phi^{(2)}}(A, B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$.

For example, for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\phi_{\theta}(x, y):=S_{\theta}(x, y)^{\theta}$ and $\phi(x, y):=M(x, y)^{\theta}$ with $M \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}$. If $\theta>0$ and $M(x, y) \lesseqgtr S_{\theta}(x, y)$ for all $x, y>0$, then

$$
\delta_{\phi}(A, B) \gtreqless \delta_{\phi_{\theta}}(A, B)= \begin{cases}\frac{2}{\mid 2-\theta \|}\left\|A^{\frac{2-\theta}{2}}-B^{\frac{2-\theta}{2}}\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}} & \text { if } \theta \neq 2, \\ \|\log A-\log B\|_{\mathrm{HS}} & \text { if } \theta=2 .\end{cases}
$$

Theorem If $A B \neq B A$ and $\phi(x, y) \lessgtr \phi_{\theta}(x, y)$ for all $x, y>0$ with $x \neq y$, then, $\delta_{\phi}(A, B) \gtrless \delta_{\phi_{\theta}}(A, B)$.

- In the case $\theta=2$ and $\phi(x, y)=M_{\mathrm{G}}(x, y)^{2}$,

$$
\left\|\log \left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}} \geq\|\log A-\log B\|_{\mathrm{HS}}
$$

(exponential metric increasing [Mostow, Bhatia, Bhatia-Holbrook])

- In the case $\theta=2$ and $\phi(x, y)=M_{\mathrm{A}}(x, y)^{2}$,

$$
\delta_{M_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}}(A, B) \leq\|\log A-\log B\|_{\mathrm{HS}}
$$

(exponential metric decreasing)

- In the case $\theta=1$,

$$
\delta_{M_{\mathrm{G}}}(A, B) \geq \delta_{M_{\mathrm{L}}}(A, B) \geq 2\left\|A^{1 / 2}-B^{1 / 2}\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}} \geq \delta_{M_{\mathrm{A}}}(A, B)
$$

Bogoliubov Wigner-Yanase Bures-Uhlmann
(square metric increasing/decreasing)

Unitarily invariant norms
For a unitarily invariant norm ||| $\cdot \|$,

$$
L_{\phi,\||\|\cdot\||}(\gamma):=\int_{0}^{1}\left\|\mid \phi\left(\mathbf{L}_{\gamma(t)}, \mathbf{R}_{\gamma(t)}\right)^{-1 / 2} \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right\| \| d t
$$

$$
\delta_{\phi,\|\cdot\| \|}(A, B):=\inf \left\{L_{\phi,\|\mid \cdot\| \|}(\gamma): \gamma \text { is a } C^{1} \text { curve joining } A, B\right\}
$$

$\left(\mathbb{P}_{n}, \delta_{\phi, \||||| |}\right)$ is no longer a Riemannian manifold but a differential manifold of Finsler type. Many results above hold true even when $\|\cdot\|_{\text {HS }}$ is replaced by $|\| \cdot||\mid$.
Let $\phi^{(k)}(x, y):=M^{(k)}(x, y)^{\theta}, k=1,2$. To compare $L_{\phi^{(1)},\||\cdot|\|}(\gamma)$ and $L_{\phi^{(2)}, \||\cdot|| |}(\gamma)$, the infinite divisibility of $M^{(1)}(x, y) / M^{(2)}(x, y)$ is crucial:

$$
\left(\frac{M^{(1)}\left(e^{t}, 1\right)}{M^{(2)}\left(e^{t}, 1\right)}\right)^{r}
$$

is positive definite on $\mathbb{R}$ for any $r>0$ [Bhatia-Kosaki, Kosaki].

## 5. Problems

- Want to prove the unique existence of geodesic shortest curve between $A, B \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$ with respect to $K^{\phi}$.
- Need to study $\left(\mathcal{D}_{n}, K^{\phi}\right)$ rather than $\left(\mathbb{P}_{n}, K^{\phi}\right)$ for applicatioins to quantum information.

Thank you for your attention.

