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## Definition of Matroid

Let us recall briefly what a matroid is:
Definition
Let $E$ be a finite set and let $\mathscr{B} \subseteq 2^{E}$ be a family of subsets of $E$ satisfying:
(1) $\mathscr{B} \neq \varnothing$.
(2) If $A$ and $B$ are distinct members of $\mathscr{B}$ and $a \in A \backslash B$, then there exists $b \in B \backslash A$ such that $(A \backslash\{a\}) \cup\{b\} \in \mathscr{B}$.
We say that $M=(E, \mathscr{B})$ is a matroid on $E$, and call the elements $B \in \mathscr{B}$ the bases of $M$.

## Rank and independence

## Remark

- One can prove that all the sets $B \in \mathscr{B}$ must have the same cardinality, which we may denote with the integer $k$. We say that $k$ is the rank of $M$.
- We say that a set $I \subseteq E$ is independent if it is contained in some $B \in \mathscr{B}$.
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## Remark

The family $\mathscr{I}$ of all independent sets of a matroid $M$ is a pure simplicial complex. It satisfies a nice property: all its induced subcomplexes are pure. This characterizes all matroid complexes.

## From matroid to polytopes

## Definition

Let $M$ be a matroid on the set $E=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with set of bases $\mathscr{B}$ and let $\mathscr{I}$ all the independent subsets of $M$. For each $A \subseteq E$ let us define a point in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by $e_{A}=\sum_{i \in A} e_{i}$, where $e_{i}$ is the $i$-th canonical vector.

- We define the matroid polytope or basis polytope of $M$ as:

$$
\mathscr{P}(M) \doteq \text { convex hull }\left\{e_{B}: B \in \mathscr{B}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$
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Let $M$ be a matroid on the set $E=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with set of bases $\mathscr{B}$ and let $\mathscr{I}$ all the independent subsets of $M$. For each $A \subseteq E$ let us define a point in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by $e_{A}=\sum_{i \in A} e_{i}$, where $e_{i}$ is the $i$-th canonical vector.

- We define the matroid polytope or basis polytope of $M$ as:

$$
\mathscr{P}(M) \doteq \text { convex hull }\left\{e_{B}: B \in \mathscr{B}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

- We define the independence (matroid) polytope of $M$ as:

$$
\mathscr{P}_{l}(M) \doteq \text { convex hull }\left\{e_{I}: I \in \mathscr{I}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

## From polytopes to matroids?

Theorem (GGMS '87)
A polytope $\mathscr{P}$ is the basis polytope of a matroid if and only if:
(a) All the vertices of $\mathscr{P}$ have $0 / 1$ coordinates.
(b) All the edges of $\mathscr{P}$ are of the form $e_{i}-e_{j}$.
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Theorem (Edmonds '69)
Let $\mathscr{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be the independence polytope of a matroid. Then:

- All the vertices of $\mathscr{P}$ have $0 / 1$ coordinates.
- All the edges of $\mathscr{P}$ are of the form $e_{i}-e_{j}, e_{i}$ or $-e_{i}$.


## Generalized Permutohedra

Definition (Postnikov '09)
A generalized permutohedron is a polytope $\mathscr{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ that has each of its edges is parallel to some $e_{i}-e_{j}$ for $i \neq j$.

Thus, basis polytopes are exactly GP with $0 / 1$ coordinates.
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## Definition (Postnikov '09)

A generalized permutohedron is a polytope $\mathscr{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ that has each of its edges is parallel to some $e_{i}-e_{j}$ for $i \neq j$.

Thus, basis polytopes are exactly GP with $0 / 1$ coordinates. What about independence polytopes?

Proposition (Ardila et al. '11-LF '20)
There is a "canonical" way to lift the independence matroid polytope $\mathscr{P}_{I}(M)$ and obtain a generalized permutohedron $\widetilde{P}_{I}(M)$.

Our lifting is an integral equivalence. This means that it preserves, for example, the Ehrhart polynomial of our independence matroid polytope.

## The Ehrhart Polynomial

Theorem (Ehrhart '62)
If $\mathscr{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a lattice polytope of dimension $m$, then the function

$$
i(\mathscr{P}, t)=\#\left(t \mathscr{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)
$$

defined for $t \in \mathbb{N}$ is a polynomial of degree $m$.

## An example

## Example

Let $U_{2,4}$ be the uniform matroid with 4 elements and rank 2. It is defined on the ground set $E=\{1,2,3,4\}$ and its set of bases is:

$$
\mathscr{B}=\{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{1,4\},\{2,3\},\{2,4\},\{3,4\}\} .
$$

The matroid polytope is given by the convex hull of the following points in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ :

$$
\{(1,1,0,0),(1,0,1,0),(1,0,0,1),(0,1,1,0),(0,1,0,1),(0,0,1,1)\}
$$

This defines a polytope of dimension 3 in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$, also known as the hypersimplex $\Delta_{2,4}$. The Ehrhart polynomial is:

$$
i\left(U_{2,4}, t\right)=\frac{2}{3} t^{3}+2 t^{2}+\frac{7}{3} t+1
$$

## An example



## Ehrhart positivity

Conjecture (De Loera, Haws, Köppe '07)
The Ehrhart polynomial of a matroid polytope always has positive coefficients.

## Remark

This is not true for general polytopes. In fact there exist counterexamples for 0/1-polytopes.

## Ehrhart positivity

Conjecture (De Loera, Haws, Köppe '07)
The Ehrhart polynomial of a matroid polytope always has positive coefficients.

## Remark

This is not true for general polytopes. In fact there exist counterexamples for 0/1-polytopes.

In 2015 a stronger conjecture was formulated by Castillo and Liu:
Conjecture (Castillo, Liu '15)
All generalized permutohedra with vertices with integer coordinates are Ehrhart positive.

## The case of uniform matroids

Theorem (LF '19)
The basis polytopes of all uniform matroids are Ehrhart positive.
An equivalent rewording of the preceding theorem: all hypersimplices are Ehrhart-positive.
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Theorem (LF '20)
The independence matroid polytopes of all uniform matroids are Ehrhart positive.

The latter is a consequence of a nicer fact: all half-open hypersimplices are Ehrhart Positive. The proof of both results is combinatorial, and do not allow us to get much geometric insight.

## An upper bound

It is easy to show that if $M$ is a matroid of rank $k$ and cardinality $n$, then its matroid polytope $\mathscr{P}$ is contained in the hypersimplex $\Delta_{n, k}$.
Therefore one has the inequality

$$
i(M, t) \leq i\left(U_{k, n}, t\right)
$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We conjecture something stronger:
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Therefore one has the inequality

$$
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for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We conjecture something stronger:
Conjecture (LF '20)
If $M$ is a matroid of rank $k$ and cardinality $n$ then, for all $0 \leq m \leq n-1$, the m-th coefficient of $i(M, t)$ is less or equal than the m-th coefficient of $i\left(U_{k, n}, t\right)$.

## A lower bound?

This motivates us to look for another matroid whose Ehrhart-coefficients could be a possible lower bound. Without loss of generality we may restrict ourselves only to connected matroids (those that are not a direct sum of smaller matroids).

## A lower bound?

This motivates us to look for another matroid whose Ehrhart-coefficients could be a possible lower bound. Without loss of generality we may restrict ourselves only to connected matroids (those that are not a direct sum of smaller matroids).

Proposition (Dinolt, Murty)
For all $n$ and $k$, the least number of bases a connected matroid of rank $k$ and cardinality $n$ can have is exactly $k(n-k)+1$. There is only one matroid up to isomorphisms for which this minimum is attained.

## Minimal matroids

We will denote this unique matroid of size $n$ and rank $k$ by $T_{k, n}$. It is given by the cycle matroid of a graph given by a cycle of length $k+1$ where one of the edges is replaced by $n-k$ parallel copies.


Figure: $T_{5,8}$
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## Remark

It can be proven that $T_{k, n}$ is isomorphic to the snake matroid $S(k, n-k)$ (Knauer - Martínez - Ramírez).

## The Ehrhart polynomial of $T_{k, n}$

Theorem (LF '20)

$$
i\left(T_{k, n}, t\right)=\frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k-1}}\binom{t+n-k}{n-k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{n-k-1+j}{j}\binom{t+j}{j}
$$

In particular $i\left(T_{k, n}, t-1\right)$ has positive coefficients (and hence $T_{k, n}$ is Ehrhart-positive).
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$$
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$$

In particular $i\left(T_{k, n}, t-1\right)$ has positive coefficients (and hence $T_{k, n}$ is Ehrhart-positive).

## Conjecture

If $M$ is a connected matroid of rank $k$ and size $n$, then for all
$1 \leq m \leq n-1$, the $m$-th coefficient of $i(M, t)$ is greater or equal than the $m$-th coefficient of $i\left(T_{k, n}, t\right)$.

A proof of this conjecture would imply De Loera et al's conjecture.

## $h^{*}$-polynomials

Theorem (Stanley '93)
Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a lattice polytope of dimension $m$. Then:

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} i(\mathscr{P}, k) x^{k}=\frac{h^{*}(x)}{(1-x)^{m+1}}
$$

for a polynomial $h^{*}$ of degree at most $m$ and nonnegative integer coefficients.

This suggests that the coefficients of the $h^{*}$-polynomial of an integral polytope are counting something. For uniform matroids we have such interpretations.
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Theorem (Li 12', Early 17', Kim 20')
There is a combinatorial interpretation of the coefficients of the $h^{*}$-polynomial of all hypersimplices $\Delta_{k, n}$.

## $h^{*}$-polynomials of matroids

## Conjecture (De Loera et al '07)

The $h^{*}$-polynomial of a matroid polytope has unimodal coefficients. This means that if we write $h^{*}(x)=h_{0}+h_{1} x+\ldots+h_{m} x^{m}$, there is an index $0 \leq j \leq m$ such that:

$$
h_{0} \leq h_{1} \leq \cdots \leq h_{j-1} \leq h_{j} \geq h_{j+1} \geq \cdots \geq h_{m}
$$
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The $h^{*}$-polynomial of a matroid polytope has unimodal coefficients. This means that if we write $h^{*}(x)=h_{0}+h_{1} x+\ldots+h_{m} x^{m}$, there is an index $0 \leq j \leq m$ such that:

$$
h_{0} \leq h_{1} \leq \cdots \leq h_{j-1} \leq h_{j} \geq h_{j+1} \geq \cdots \geq h_{m}
$$

The only infinite families of matroids for which this Conjecture has been proved are minimal matroids (Knauer et al 18' or Ferroni 20') and snake matroids of the form $S(a, \ldots, a)$ (Knauer et al 18').

## Real-rootedness

## Proposition

If a polynomial $p$ has positive coefficients and all of its roots are real numbers, then $p$ has log-concave coefficients and, in particular, they are unimodal.

## Conjecture (Ferroni 20')

The $h^{*}$-polynomial of matroid polytopes are real-rooted.
Particular cases are still open. It is an open problem to prove that $h^{*}$-polynomials of hypersimplices are real-rooted.

## Real-rootedness

## Proposition

If a polynomial $p$ has positive coefficients and all of its roots are real numbers, then $p$ has log-concave coefficients and, in particular, they are unimodal.

## Conjecture (Ferroni 20')

The $h^{*}$-polynomial of matroid polytopes are real-rooted.
Particular cases are still open. It is an open problem to prove that $h^{*}$-polynomials of hypersimplices are real-rooted.

Question (Real rootedness for $h^{*}\left(\Delta_{2, n}, x\right)$ )

$$
h^{*}(x)=1+\left(\binom{n}{2}-n\right) x+\binom{n}{4} x^{2}+\binom{n}{6} x^{3}+\binom{n}{8} x^{4}+\ldots+\binom{n}{2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} x^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} .
$$
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## Some facts

## Remark

The following matroids are $h^{*}$-real-rooted:
(1) A direct sum of $h^{*}$-real-rooted matroids (Wagner '92).
(2) All minimal matroids (LF '20 or Knauer et al. '18).
(3) All uniform matroids with up to 200 elements.
(9) All matroids with up to 9 elements.
(5) All lattice-path-matroids with up to 12 elements.
(0) All snake matroids $S\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ with $a_{1}+\ldots+a_{k} \leq 22$.
(1) All matroids listed in [De Loera - Haws - Köppe '07].
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