

Lecture 3

6. Positive polynomials

Problem: given a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$
find $f_{\min} = \inf_{x \in X} f(x)$

↑ connected to many optimization problems
e.g., MaxCut:

$X = \{0, 1\}^n$, G weighted graph with edge weights w_{ij}

$$f(x) = - \sum_{(i,j) \in E} w_{ij} (x_i - x_j)^2$$

then $f_{\min} = - \text{MaxCut}(G)$

↕
Reformulation: $f_{\min} = \sup \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid f - \lambda \text{ is nonnegative on } X \}$

⇒ being able to check algorithmically whether a polynomial is nonnegative would allow us to solve many opt. problems

Two main players:

1. $\{ f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid f(x) \geq 0 \ \forall x \} =: \mathcal{P}$
the convex cone of nonnegative poly
2. $\{ f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid f(x) = \sum g_i^2(x) \text{ for some } g_i \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \} =: \mathcal{S}$

The univariate case:

Theorem: a univariate polynomial $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ is nonnegative if and only if it is a sum of squares.

↳ a nonnegative polynomial must have even degree

There are similar results for nonnegative polynomials on $[a, b]$

The general case: Hilbert

Minkowski conjectured that nonnegative \neq sum of square

Let's work now with homogeneous polynomials

\rightarrow homogenize and dehomogenize does not change ≥ 0 or s.o.s.

We will denote by $\mathcal{P}_{n,d} = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_d \mid f \geq 0\}$

$$\Sigma_{n,d} = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_d \mid f \text{ is sos}\}$$

Theorem (Hilbert 1888): Let $n \geq 2$ and d even. Then $\Sigma_{n,d} = \mathcal{P}_{n,d}$ iff

(i) $n=2$

(ii) $d=2$

(iii) $n=3, d=4$

]"the Hilbert cases"

Proof: (partial)

(i) dehomogenization gives univariate polynomials

(ii) $f(x) = x^T A x$ with A symmetric. $f \geq 0 \iff A \succeq 0$

positive semidefinite

$$\iff A = B^T B$$

Choleski factorization

$$\iff f(x) = x^T B^T B x = \|Bx\|^2$$

$$\iff f \text{ sos}$$

(iii) technical proof, based on the fact that for any nonnegative ternary quartic f there exists g s.t. $\deg g = 2$ and $f - g^2 \geq 0$.

The remaining cases?

- $n \geq 3, d \geq 6$ the counterexample is based on the Motzkin polynomial

$$f(x, y, z) = z^6 - 3x^2 y^2 z^2 + x^2 y^4 + x^4 y^2$$

- $n \geq 4$ the counterexample is based on the polynomial

$$f(w, x, y, z) = w^4 + x^2 y^2 + x^2 z^2 + y^2 z^2 - 4 w x y z$$

However, Hilbert's 17th problem ask if we can write every nonnegative polynomial as a sum of rational functions.

Theorem: Any nonnegative polynomial can be written as a sum of squares of rational functions. ↳ Putinar's in L4

We can prove it using a version of the **Positivstellensatz**

Theorem: $g_1, \dots, g_k \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_i(x) \geq 0 \ \forall i=1, \dots, k\}$,
 $P = \{ \sum_{i \in \{0,1\}^k} \sigma_i g_1^{i_1} \dots g_k^{i_k} \mid \sigma_i \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \text{ sos} \}$, $f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then

(i) $f > 0$ on $S \iff \exists g, h \in P$ with $f g = 1 + h$

(ii) $f \geq 0$ on $S \iff \exists g, h \in P, m \geq 0$ with $f g = f^{2m} + h$

(iii) $f = 0$ on $S \iff \exists g \in P, m \geq 0$ with $f^{2m} + g = 0$

Using this we can prove Hilbert 17th problem:

→ we have $k=0$, so $f \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \iff \exists g, h$ sos, $m \geq 0$ such that

$$f g = f^{2m} + h$$

$$\implies f = \left(\frac{1}{g}\right)^2 g (f^{2m} + h) \text{ is a rational sos}$$

Theorem (Schmüdgen) $f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $f > 0$ on S , then $f \in P$, namely $f = \sum_{i \in \{0,1\}^k} \sigma_i g_1^{i_1} \dots g_k^{i_k}$ with σ_i sos above ↘

7. SOS cone and optimization

Back to the beginning: $f_{\min} = \sup \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid f - \lambda \geq 0 \}$

$$\implies f_{\text{sos}} = \sup \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid f - \lambda \text{ is sos} \}$$

Since $\{\text{sos}\} \subseteq \{\geq 0\}$, then $f_{\text{sos}} \leq f_{\min}$

BUT we can compute f_{sos} !

A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is sos if and only if $f = m^T A m$, $A \succeq 0$

vector of monomials

Example: $f = y^4 - xy^2 + x^2 + 1$

then $m = \{ 1, x, y, x^2, xy, y^2 \}$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

→ I can also just take a subset of monomials, if that's enough:

$$\tilde{m} = \{ 1, x, y^2 \}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$A \succeq 0$ and in fact $f = \frac{3}{4}(x-y^2)^2 + \frac{1}{4}(x+y)^2 + 1$

Using this remark we can compute f_{sos} via a **semidefinite program**

We look for a decomposition

$$f - \lambda = m^T A m, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad A \succeq 0.$$

depends on λ

Then

$f_{\text{sos}} = \sup \lambda$
 subject to $A \succeq 0$
 $f - \lambda = m^T A m$

semidefinite condition
linear conditions

Example: $f - \lambda = m^T A m$ with $\{A\}_{i,j} = a_{ij}$

$$x^4 - xy^2 + x^2 + (1-\lambda) = a_{11} + (a_{22} + a_{14} + a_{41})x^2 + \dots + a_{66}y^4$$

$$\Rightarrow f_{\text{sos}} = \sup 1 - a_{11}$$

$$\text{subject to } \begin{cases} A \succeq 0 \\ a_{22} + a_{14} + a_{41} = 1, \dots, a_{66} = 1 \end{cases}$$

What is in general an SDP?

Let A be a matrix with unknown entries, such as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & z \\ x & 0 & 2 & y \\ 0 & z & y & y \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the associated SDP is

maximize $l(x, y, z)$

subject to $A \succeq 0$

← the points satisfying this constraint form the "feasible set"

What is the feasible set?

Def: a spectrahedron is the intersection of the cone of positive semidefinite matrices with a linear space.

Equivalently, it is a set with the following shape

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n x_i A_i + A_0 \succeq 0\}.$$

Example: the spectrahedron associated to $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & z \\ x & 0 & 2 & y \\ 0 & z & y & y \end{bmatrix}$ is:

① the cone Σ of PSD 4×4 symmetric matrices $(a_{ij})_{i,j}$ intersected with the linear space

$$\{a_{11} = a_{22} = 1, a_{33} = 2, a_{12} = a_{14} = a_{23} = 0, a_{34} = a_{44}\}$$

$$\textcircled{2} A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \dots & \dots & 1 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & 1 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}, A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & 1 & \dots \end{bmatrix}, A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & 1 & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & 2 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

then the spectrahedron is $\{xA_1 + yA_2 + zA_3 + A_0 \succeq 0\}$

↓

Semialgebraic, convex sets → NICE!

↳ many properties: see exercises