

Clemens Brüser  
TU Dresden - Institute of Geometry

# Real Root Counting Algorithms

MPI Leipzig, June 8, 2023

# Initial Example

Given a matrix  $M \in \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , how can we decide if  $M$  is psd?

$$M \rightarrow \chi_M(\lambda)$$

Question about the positivity of roots of a (monic) univariate polynomial.

# Typical Questions

Given a monic univariate polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  ...

- ... how many of the roots of  $p$  are real?
- ... how many of the roots of  $p$  are positive (negative)?

Given two monic univariate polynomials  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  ...

- ... how many  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  are there such that both  $p(a) = 0$  and  $q(a) > 0$ ?

# Some History - Descartes' Rule of Signs



## René Descartes (1596–1650):

Look at the coefficient sequence  $(c_i)_i$  of  $p$ .

- Count number  $V(c)$  of “true” changes of sign in  $(c_i)_i$ .
- Upper bound on number of positive real roots of  $p$ .
- Exact  $\pmod{2}$ .

## Examples:

- $p = x^2 - 3x + 2$      $(+ - +)$  has at most (even exactly) 2 positive roots.
- $p = x^2 - x + 2$      $(+ - +)$  has at most (**but not exactly**) 2 positive roots.

## Proof idea:

- Induction on the non-zero entries of  $c$ , pass to formal derivative  $p'$ .

## Some History - Descartes' Rule of Signs



### Useful application:

Assume that  $p$  has only real roots (e.g.  $p$  is the characteristic polynomial of a symmetric real matrix).

Then Descartes' Rule of Signs is exact!

### Example:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a PSD matrix since  $\chi_M(\lambda) = \lambda^3 - 6\lambda^2 + 8\lambda - 2$  (+ - + -).

## Some History - Descartes' Rule of Signs



### Useful application:

If  $p$  has only real roots, then Descartes' Rule of Signs is exact (ignoring 0 as a root).

**Proof:** Let  $q := p(-x)$

- $d := \deg(p)$
- $N := V(p)$  (max nr. of pos. roots)
- $n := V(q)$  (max nr. of neg. roots)

We always have  $N + n \leq d$ : The coefficients of  $p$  change sign iff. those of  $q$  do not (with some tweaks for 0 coefficients).

Now by assumption  $N + n = d$  and  $N, n$  are both exact. □

## Some More Recent History - Sturm Sequences



### Jacques Charles François Sturm (1803–1855):

Consider  $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  squarefree,  $(a, b] \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and

- $p_0 := p; \quad p_1 := p'$
- $p_{k+1} := -\text{rem}(p_k, p_{k-1})$
- $\alpha := (p_k(a))_k; \quad \beta := (p_k(b))_k$

Then the number of (distinct) roots of  $p$  in  $(a, b]$  equals  $V(\alpha) - V(\beta)$ .

### Example:

- $p_0 = p = x^3 - x^2 + x - 1; \quad p_1 = p' = 3x^2 - 2x + 1$
- $p_2 = -\text{rem}(p_0, p_1) = -\frac{4}{9}x + \frac{8}{9} \rightarrow -x + 2$
- $p_3 = -\text{rem}(p_1, p_2) = -9 \rightarrow -1$
- $V(0) - V(5) = 2 - 1 = 1; \quad V(-\infty) - V(\infty) = 2 - 1 = 1$

## Some More Recent History - Sturm Sequences



### Jacques Charles François Sturm (1803–1855):

Consider  $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  squarefree,  $(a, b] \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and

- $p_0 := p; \quad p_1 := p'$
- $p_{k+1} := -\text{rem}(p_k, p_{k-1})$
- $\alpha := (p_k(a))_k; \quad \beta := (p_k(b))_k$

Then the number of (distinct) roots of  $p$  in  $(a, b]$  equals  $V(\alpha) - V(\beta)$ .

**Proof:** Move  $x$  along  $a \rightarrow b$  and write  $\xi = (p_k(x))_k$ . If  $p_k(x) = 0$ , then ...

- Case 1 ( $k > 0$ ): ...  $V(\xi) = V(\xi \pm \varepsilon)$

$$p_{k-1} = qp_k - p_{k+1} \implies \text{sign}(p_{k-1}(x \pm \varepsilon)) = -\text{sign}(p_{k+1}(x \pm \varepsilon))$$

- Case 2 ( $k = 0$ ): ...  $V(\xi + \varepsilon) = V(\xi) = V(\xi - \varepsilon) - 1$





# Generalizations of Sturm's Method



## James Joseph Sylvester (1814–1897):

By replacing  $p'$  by  $qp'$  Sturm's method can be generalized to find  $|\{p = 0, q > 0\}| - |\{p = 0, q < 0\}|$ .

One can also add arbitrarily (finitely) many conditions of the form  $q \square 0$ .

There are methods that extend these results to get rid of constraints on the polynomials.

Many of the results carry over to real closed fields without change.

# An Alternative Approach



**Sir Isaac Newton (1642–1726):**

$$p = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \alpha_i) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \nu_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^k$$

$k$ -th Newton sum



**Charles Hermite (1822–1901):**

$$\mathcal{H}(p) = (\nu_{i+j}(p))_{i,j=0}^{d-1}$$

Hermite Matrix

# An Alternative Approach



## Sir Isaac Newton (1642–1726):

The  $k$ -th Newton sum can be computed inductively from its predecessors.



## Charles Hermite (1822–1901):

$rk(\mathcal{H}(p))$  = number of distinct roots of  $p$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

$sign(\mathcal{H}(p))$  = number of distinct roots of  $p$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## Some generalizations



### Sir Isaac Newton (1642–1726):

The  $k$ -th weighted Newton sum  $\nu_k(p, q) = \sum q(\alpha_i)\alpha_i^k$  can be calculated inductively.



### Charles Hermite (1822–1901):

The generalized Hermite Matrix  $\mathcal{H}(p, q) = (\nu_{i+j}(p, q))_{i,j=0}^{d-1}$  satisfies

$rk(\mathcal{H}(p, q)) =$  number of distinct roots of  $p$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $q \neq 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sign}(\mathcal{H}(p, q)) &= \sum \text{sign}(q(\alpha_i)); \\ \{p = 0, q > 0\} &= 1/2 \sum_{e=0,1} \text{sign}(\mathcal{H}(p, q^e)). \end{aligned}$$

# The End

Thank you for your interest!