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In general: $\mu: \mathbb{R}^{k_{1} \times k_{0}} \times \mathbb{R}^{k_{2} \times k_{1}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}^{k_{L} \times k_{L-1}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k_{L} \times k_{0}}$,

$$
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$$

Its function space $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\operatorname{im}(\mu)=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{k_{L} \times k_{0}} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$, where $r:=\min \left(k_{0}, \ldots, k_{L}\right)$, is an algebraic variety.
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is represented by the permutation matrix

$$
P_{\sigma}=\left[\begin{array}{llll|llll|l}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & & & & & \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \\
& & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
& & & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
& & & & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \\
\hline & 0 & & & 0 & & 1
\end{array}\right]
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Any neural network can parametrize at most one of the real irreducible components of $\mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{M}_{2}$.
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Decompose $\sigma=\pi_{1} \circ \pi_{2} \circ \ldots \circ \pi_{k}$ into disjoint cycles.
Lemma: The linear space $\mathcal{I}^{\sigma}$ of $\sigma$-invariant $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ consists of all matrices $W$ whose columns indexed by $\pi_{i}$ are equal, for all $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. Hence, $\mathcal{I}^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{M}_{r} \cong\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ is an irreducible variety.

Lemma: Let $G \subset \mathcal{S}_{n}$.
The set of $G$-invariant $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is $\mathcal{I}^{\sigma}$ for some $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.
What are all ways to parametrize $I^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{M}_{r}$ with autoencoders?

## Invariance

Consider $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.
Decompose $\sigma=\pi_{1} \circ \pi_{2} \circ \ldots \circ \pi_{k}$ into disjoint cycles.
Lemma: The linear space $\mathcal{I}^{\sigma}$ of $\sigma$-invariant $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ consists of all matrices $W$ whose columns indexed by $\pi_{i}$ are equal, for all $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. Hence, $\mathcal{I}^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{M}_{r} \cong\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ is an irreducible variety.

Lemma: Let $G \subset \mathcal{S}_{n}$.
The set of $G$-invariant $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is $\mathcal{I}^{\sigma}$ for some $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.
What are all ways to parametrize $I^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{M}_{r}$ with autoencoders?
Lemma: $\left\{(A, B) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k} \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(A B)=k, A B \in \mathcal{I}^{\sigma}\right\}=$

## Invariance

Consider $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.
Decompose $\sigma=\pi_{1} \circ \pi_{2} \circ \ldots \circ \pi_{k}$ into disjoint cycles.
Lemma: The linear space $\mathcal{I}^{\sigma}$ of $\sigma$-invariant $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ consists of all matrices $W$ whose columns indexed by $\pi_{i}$ are equal, for all $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. Hence, $\mathcal{I}^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{M}_{r} \cong\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ is an irreducible variety.

Lemma: Let $G \subset \mathcal{S}_{n}$.
The set of $G$-invariant $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is $\mathcal{I}^{\sigma}$ for some $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.
What are all ways to parametrize $I^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{M}_{r}$ with autoencoders?
Lemma: $\left\{(A, B) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k} \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(A B)=k, A B \in \mathcal{I}^{\sigma}\right\}=$ $\left\{A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k} \mid \operatorname{rank}(A)=k\right\} \times\left\{B \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n} \mid\right.$ columns indexed by $\pi_{i}$ are equal $\}$ $\Rightarrow \sigma$ induces weight sharing on the encoder!

## running example

$\sigma: \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{9}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{9},$| $a_{11}$ | $a_{12}$ | $a_{13}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a_{21}$ | $a_{22}$ | $a_{23}$ |
| $a_{31}$ | $a_{32}$ | $a_{33}$ |$\longmapsto$| $a_{31}$ | $a_{21}$ | $a_{11}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a_{32}$ | $a_{22}$ | $a_{12}$ |
| $a_{33}$ | $a_{23}$ | $a_{13}$ |



## Equivariance

Consider $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$ represented by

$$
P_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}
$$

## Equivariance

Consider $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$ represented by

$$
P_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}
$$

Idea: Let $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}$.
W is $P_{\sigma^{-}}$equivariant iff $T^{-1} \mathrm{~W} T$ is $T^{-1} P_{\sigma} T$-equivariant.

## Equivariance

Consider $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$ represented by

$$
P_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}
$$

Idea: Let $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}$.
W is $P_{\sigma^{-}}$equivariant iff $T^{-1} \mathrm{~W} T$ is $T^{-1} P_{\sigma} T$-equivariant.
This base change also preserves rank!

## running example

$\sigma: \mathbb{R}^{9} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{9},$| $a_{11}$ | $a_{12}$ | $a_{13}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a_{21}$ | $a_{22}$ | $a_{23}$ |
| $a_{31}$ | $a_{32}$ | $a_{33}$ |$\longmapsto$| $a_{31}$ | $a_{21}$ | $a_{11}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a_{32}$ | $a_{22}$ | $a_{12}$ |
| $a_{33}$ | $a_{23}$ | $a_{13}$ |

$$
P=P_{\sigma}
$$

$P$-equivariant matrices
$\left[\begin{array}{llll|llll|l}0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \\ & & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ & & & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \\ \hline & 0 & & & & 0 & & 1\end{array}\right]$
$\left[\begin{array}{llll|llll|l}\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4} & \beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \beta_{3} & \beta_{4} & \varepsilon_{3} \\ \alpha_{4} & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} & \beta_{4} & \beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \beta_{3} & \varepsilon_{3} \\ \alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4} & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \beta_{3} & \beta_{4} & \beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \varepsilon_{3} \\ \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4} & \alpha_{1} & \beta_{2} & \beta_{3} & \beta_{4} & \beta_{1} & \varepsilon_{3} \\ \hline \gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} & \gamma_{4} & \delta_{1} & \delta_{2} & \delta_{3} & \delta_{4} & \varepsilon_{4} \\ \gamma_{4} & \gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} & \delta_{4} & \delta_{1} & \delta_{2} & \delta_{3} & \varepsilon_{4} \\ \gamma_{3} & \gamma_{4} & \gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \delta_{3} & \delta_{4} & \delta_{1} & \delta_{2} & \varepsilon_{4} \\ \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} & \gamma_{4} & \gamma_{1} & \delta_{2} & \delta_{3} & \delta_{4} & \delta_{1} & \varepsilon_{4} \\ \hline \varepsilon_{1} & \varepsilon_{1} & \varepsilon_{1} & \varepsilon_{1} & \varepsilon_{2} & \varepsilon_{2} & \varepsilon_{2} & \varepsilon_{2} & \varepsilon_{5}\end{array}\right]$

## running example

$\sigma: \mathbb{R}^{9} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{9},$| $a_{11}$ | $a_{12}$ | $a_{13}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a_{21}$ | $a_{22}$ | $a_{23}$ |
| $a_{31}$ | $a_{32}$ | $a_{33}$ |$\longmapsto$| $a_{31}$ | $a_{21}$ | $a_{11}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a_{32}$ | $a_{22}$ | $a_{12}$ |
| $a_{33}$ | $a_{23}$ | $a_{13}$ |

$P=$ diagonalization of $P_{\sigma}$
$P$-equivariant matrices
$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|c}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & & \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i & & & & & \\ \hline & & & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ & 0 & & 0 & i & 0 & 0 & \\ & 0 & & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & -i & \\ & & 0 & & & 0 & & 1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|c}a_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{13} \\ 0 & c_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{12} & 0 \\ \hline a_{21} & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{23} \\ 0 & c_{21} & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_{21} & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{21} & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{22} & 0 \\ \hline a_{31} & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{32} & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{33}\end{array}\right]$

## running example

$\sigma: \mathbb{R}^{9} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{9},$| $a_{11}$ | $a_{12}$ | $a_{13}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a_{21}$ | $a_{22}$ | $a_{23}$ |
| $a_{31}$ | $a_{32}$ | $a_{33}$ |$\longmapsto$| $a_{31}$ | $a_{21}$ | $a_{11}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a_{32}$ | $a_{22}$ | $a_{12}$ |
| $a_{33}$ | $a_{23}$ | $a_{13}$ |

$$
P=\text { diagonalization of } P_{\sigma} \quad P \text {-equivariant matrices }
$$



## running example



There are 10 ways how $W$ can have rank 2 :

## running example



There are 10 ways how $W$ can have rank 2 :

- One of the diagonal blocks has rank 2; other blocks are 0


## running example



There are 10 ways how $W$ can have rank 2 :

- One of the diagonal blocks has rank 2; $\rightsquigarrow 4$ components of $\mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{M}_{2}$ other blocks are 0


## running example



There are 10 ways how $W$ can have rank 2 :

- One of the diagonal blocks has rank 2; $\rightsquigarrow 4$ components of $\mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{M}_{2}$ other blocks are 0
- Two distinct blocks have rank 1; other blocks are 0


## running example



There are 10 ways how $W$ can have rank 2 :

- One of the diagonal blocks has rank 2; $\rightsquigarrow 4$ components of $\mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{M}_{2}$ other blocks are 0
- Two distinct blocks have rank $1 ; \quad \rightsquigarrow 6$ components of $\mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{M}_{2}$ other blocks are 0


## Equivariance

Consider $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$ represented by

$$
P_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}
$$

## Equivariance

Consider $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$ represented by

$$
P_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}
$$

The decomposition $\sigma=\pi_{1} \circ \ldots \circ \pi_{k}$ into disjoint cycles chops $P_{\sigma}$ into blocks.

## Equivariance

Consider $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$ represented by $P_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

The decomposition $\sigma=\pi_{1} \circ \ldots \circ \pi_{k}$ into disjoint cycles chops $P_{\sigma}$ into blocks. Chop $W$ into blocks following the same pattern!

$$
P_{\sigma}=\left[\begin{array}{llll|lllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & & & & & \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & & & & & \\
\hline & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \\
& 0 & & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
& & & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline & 0 & & & & 0 & 1 & 0 & \\
\hline
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{W}=\left[\begin{array}{llll|llll|l}
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4} & \beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \beta_{3} & \beta_{4} & \varepsilon_{3} \\
\alpha_{4} & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} & \beta_{4} & \beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \beta_{3} & \varepsilon_{3} \\
\alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4} & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \beta_{3} & \beta_{4} & \beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \varepsilon_{3} \\
\alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4} & \alpha_{1} & \beta_{2} & \beta_{3} & \beta_{4} & \beta_{1} & \varepsilon_{3} \\
\hline \gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} & \gamma_{4} & \delta_{1} & \delta_{2} & \delta_{3} & \delta_{4} & \varepsilon_{4} \\
\gamma_{4} & \gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} & \delta_{4} & \delta_{1} & \delta_{2} & \delta_{3} & \varepsilon_{4} \\
\gamma_{3} & \gamma_{4} & \gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \delta_{3} & \delta_{4} & \delta_{1} & \delta_{2} & \varepsilon_{4} \\
\gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} & \gamma_{4} & \gamma_{1} & \delta_{2} & \delta_{3} & \delta_{4} & \delta_{1} & \varepsilon_{4} \\
\hline \varepsilon_{1} & \varepsilon_{1} & \varepsilon_{1} & \varepsilon_{1} & \varepsilon_{2} & \varepsilon_{2} & \varepsilon_{2} & \varepsilon_{2} & \varepsilon_{5}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Equivariance

Consider $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$ represented by $P_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

The decomposition $\sigma=\pi_{1} \circ \ldots \circ \pi_{k}$ into disjoint cycles chops $P_{\sigma}$ into blocks. Chop $W$ into blocks following the same pattern!

$$
P_{\sigma}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c|c}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & & \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & & \\
& 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Lemma: A matrix $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is $\sigma$-equivariant iff each block is a (possily non-square) circulant matrix.

## Equivariance

Consider $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$ represented by
$P_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.
The decomposition $\sigma=\pi_{1} \circ \ldots \circ \pi_{k}$ into disjoint cycles chops $P_{\sigma}$ into blocks. Chop $W$ into blocks following the same pattern!

$$
P_{\sigma}=\left[\begin{array}{llll|lllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & & & & \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & & & & \\
\hline & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \\
& 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
& 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \\
\hline 0 & & 0 & & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
W=\left[\begin{array}{llll|llll|l}
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4} & \beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \beta_{3} & \beta_{4} & \varepsilon_{3} \\
\alpha_{4} & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} & \beta_{4} & \beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \beta_{3} & \varepsilon_{3} \\
\alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4} & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \beta_{3} & \beta_{4} & \beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \varepsilon_{3} \\
\alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4} & \alpha_{1} & \beta_{2} & \beta_{3} & \beta_{4} & \beta_{1} & \varepsilon_{3} \\
\hline \gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} & \gamma_{4} & \delta_{1} & \delta_{2} & \delta_{3} & \delta_{4} & \varepsilon_{4} \\
\gamma_{4} & \gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} & \delta_{4} & \delta_{1} & \delta_{2} & \delta_{3} & \varepsilon_{4} \\
\gamma_{3} & \gamma_{4} & \gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \delta_{3} & \delta_{4} & \delta_{1} & \varepsilon_{2} & \varepsilon_{1} \\
\gamma_{2} & \varepsilon_{1} & \varepsilon_{3} & \delta_{2} & \delta_{3} & \varepsilon_{4} & \varepsilon_{2} & \varepsilon_{1} & \varepsilon_{4} \\
\varepsilon_{4} & \varepsilon_{5}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Lemma: A matrix $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is $\sigma$-equivariant iff each block is a (possily non-square) circulant matrix.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a & a & a \\
a & a & a \\
a & a & a \\
a & a & a
\end{array}\right], \quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a & a & a \\
a & a & a
\end{array}\right], \quad\left[\begin{array}{llll}
a & b & a & b \\
b & a & b & a
\end{array}\right], \quad \cdots
$$

## Equivariance

Consider $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.
Decompose $\sigma=\pi_{1} \circ \ldots \circ \pi_{k}$ into disjoint cycles and let $\ell_{j}:=\operatorname{length}\left(\pi_{j}\right)$.

## Equivariance

Consider $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.
Decompose $\sigma=\pi_{1} \circ \ldots \circ \pi_{k}$ into disjoint cycles and let $\ell_{j}:=\operatorname{length}\left(\pi_{j}\right)$.
Diagonalize $P_{\sigma}$ and sort the eigenvalues. This yields the diagonal matrix $P$.

## Equivariance

Consider $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.
Decompose $\sigma=\pi_{1} \circ \ldots \circ \pi_{k}$ into disjoint cycles and let $\ell_{j}:=$ length $\left(\pi_{j}\right)$.
Diagonalize $P_{\sigma}$ and sort the eigenvalues. This yields the diagonal matrix $P$.
Lemma: A matrix $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is $P$-equivariant iff its block diagonal with $\#(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$many blocks of size $d_{m} \times d_{m}$, where $d_{m}:=\#\left\{j\right.$ such that $\left.m \mid \ell_{j}\right\}$.

## Equivariance

Consider $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.
Decompose $\sigma=\pi_{1} \circ \ldots \circ \pi_{k}$ into disjoint cycles and let $\ell_{j}:=$ length $\left(\pi_{j}\right)$.
Diagonalize $P_{\sigma}$ and sort the eigenvalues. This yields the diagonal matrix $P$.
Lemma: A matrix $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is $P$-equivariant iff its block diagonal with $\#(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$many blocks of size $d_{m} \times d_{m}$, where $d_{m}:=\#\left\{j\right.$ such that $\left.m \mid \ell_{j}\right\}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell_{1}=4, \ell_{2}=4, \ell_{3}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equivariance

Consider $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.
Decompose $\sigma=\pi_{1} \circ \ldots \circ \pi_{k}$ into disjoint cycles and let $\ell_{j}:=$ length $\left(\pi_{j}\right)$.
Diagonalize $P_{\sigma}$ and sort the eigenvalues. This yields the diagonal matrix $P$.
Lemma: A matrix $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is $P$-equivariant iff its block diagonal with $\#(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$many blocks of size $d_{m} \times d_{m}$, where $d_{m}:=\#\left\{j\right.$ such that $\left.m \mid \ell_{j}\right\}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell_{1}=4, \ell_{2}=4, \ell_{3}=1 \\
& d_{1}=3, d_{2}=2, d_{3}=0, d_{4}=2, d_{5}=0, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equivariance

Consider $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.
Decompose $\sigma=\pi_{1} \circ \ldots \circ \pi_{k}$ into disjoint cycles and let $\ell_{j}:=\operatorname{length}\left(\pi_{j}\right)$.
Diagonalize $P_{\sigma}$ and sort the eigenvalues. This yields the diagonal matrix $P$.
Lemma: A matrix $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is $P$-equivariant iff its block diagonal with $\#(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$many blocks of size $d_{m} \times d_{m}$, where $d_{m}:=\#\left\{j\right.$ such that $\left.m \mid \ell_{j}\right\}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell_{1}=4, \ell_{2}=4, \ell_{3}=1 \\
& d_{1}=3, d_{2}=2, d_{3}=0, d_{4}=2, d_{5}=0, \ldots \\
& \#(\mathbb{Z} / 1 \mathbb{Z})^{\times}=1, \#(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{\times}=1, \#(\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z})^{\times}=2
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equivariance

Consider $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.
Decompose $\sigma=\pi_{1} \circ \ldots \circ \pi_{k}$ into disjoint cycles and let $\ell_{j}:=$ length $\left(\pi_{j}\right)$.
Diagonalize $P_{\sigma}$ and sort the eigenvalues. This yields the diagonal matrix $P$.
Lemma: A matrix $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is $P$-equivariant iff its block diagonal with $\#(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$many blocks of size $d_{m} \times d_{m}$, where $d_{m}:=\#\left\{j\right.$ such that $\left.m \mid \ell_{j}\right\}$.
Theorem: The irreducible components of $\mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{M}_{r}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ are in 1-to-1 correspondence with the integer solutions $\left(r_{m, u}\right)$ of

$$
\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}>0} \sum_{u \in(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})^{\times}} r_{m, u}=r, \quad \text { where } 0 \leq r_{m, u} \leq d_{m}
$$



## Equivariance

Consider $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.
Decompose $\sigma=\pi_{1} \circ \ldots \circ \pi_{k}$ into disjoint cycles and let $\ell_{j}:=$ length $\left(\pi_{j}\right)$.
Diagonalize $P_{\sigma}$ and sort the eigenvalues. This yields the diagonal matrix $P$.
Lemma: A matrix $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is $P$-equivariant iff its block diagonal with $\#(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$many blocks of size $d_{m} \times d_{m}$, where $d_{m}:=\#\left\{j\right.$ such that $\left.m \mid \ell_{j}\right\}$.
Theorem: The irreducible components of $\mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{M}_{r}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ are in 1-to-1 correspondence with the integer solutions $\left(r_{m, u}\right)$ of

$$
\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{>0} r_{u \in(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})^{\times}} r_{m, u}=r, \quad \text { where } 0 \leq r_{m, u} \leq d_{m}
$$

The component indexed by $\left(r_{m, u}\right)$ is

$$
\cong \prod_{m \in \mathbb{Z}>0} \prod_{u \in(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})^{\times}}\left\{A \in \mathbb{C}^{d_{m} \times d_{m}} \mid \operatorname{rank}(A) \leq r_{m, u}\right\} .
$$

## Equivariance over $\mathbb{R}$

Consider $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.
To diagonalize $P_{\sigma}$, we need a complex base change!

## Equivariance over $\mathbb{R}$

Consider $\mathcal{M}_{r}=\left\{W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq r\right\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.
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This new basis can be scaled to become orthonormal!

Example: to diagonalize
$\left[\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$, use base change $\left[\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & i & -1 & -i \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -i & -1 & i\end{array}\right]$

$$
\rightsquigarrow \frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \sqrt{2} & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -1 & -\sqrt{2} \\
1 & -\sqrt{2} & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -1 & \sqrt{2}
\end{array}\right] \in O_{4}(\mathbb{R})
$$

## running example

$\sigma: \mathbb{R}^{9} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{9},$| $a_{11}$ | $a_{12}$ | $a_{13}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a_{21}$ | $a_{22}$ | $a_{23}$ |
| $a_{31}$ | $a_{32}$ | $a_{33}$ |$\longmapsto$| $a_{31}$ | $a_{21}$ | $a_{11}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a_{32}$ | $a_{22}$ | $a_{12}$ |
| $a_{33}$ | $a_{23}$ | $a_{13}$ |

$P=P_{\sigma}$ after $O_{9}(\mathbb{R})$-base change
$P$-equivariant matrices


## running example

$$
W=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
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& & & & & c_{1} & -c_{2} & d_{1} & -d_{2} \\
& & & & & c_{2} & c_{1} & d_{2} & d_{1} \\
& & & & & e_{1} & -e_{2} & f_{1} & -f_{2} \\
& & & & e_{2} & e_{1} & f_{2} & f_{1}
\end{array}\right]
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## Equivariance over $\mathbb{R}$

In general: After the $O_{n}(\mathbb{R})$-base change, the $\sigma$-equivariant matrices become block diagonal:

- at most 2 blocks are arbitrary (corresponding to eigenvalues $\pm 1$ of $P_{\sigma}$ );
- all other blocks are $2 m \times 2 m$ matrices consisting of $m^{2}$ matrices of the form $\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & -b \\ b & a\end{array}\right]$.

Blocks of the latter kind have even rank!
The variety of such blocks of bounded rank is irreducible.
$\Rightarrow$ we can list all irreducible components of $\mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{M}_{r}$, parametrize them via autoencoders, understand their algebraic properties such as dimension, degree, ...

## Which of these 4 components is best ??
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## Euclidean distance degree

Lemma: Given a sufficient amount of training data that is sufficiently generic, training a network with function space $\mathcal{M}$ using the squared-error loss means to solve an optimization problem of the form

$$
\min _{W \in \mathcal{M}}\|W-U\|_{F}^{2}, \quad \text { where } U \text { is a generic matrix encoding the data. }
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## Euclidean distance degree

Lemma: Given a sufficient amount of training data that is sufficiently generic, training a network with function space $\mathcal{M}$ using the squared-error loss means to solve an optimization problem of the form

$$
\min _{W \in \mathcal{M}}\|W-U\|_{F}^{2}, \quad \text { where } U \text { is a generic matrix encoding the data. }
$$

The number of complex critical points of that problem measures its algebraic complexity, called its Euclidean distance degree. Notation: EDdeg( $\mathcal{M})$.
We can compute EDdeg of each real irreducible component of $\mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{M}_{r}$ !

1. EDdeg stays invariant under orthogonal base changes.
2. $\operatorname{EDdeg}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})=\operatorname{EDdeg}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot \operatorname{EDdeg}(\mathcal{Y})$
3. $\operatorname{EDdeg}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s, d}\right)=\binom{d}{s}$, where $\mathcal{X}_{s, d}$ is either the space of $d \times d$ matrices of rank $\leq s$ or of $2 d \times 2 d$ matrices of rank $\leq 2 s$ that consist of $\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & -b \\ b & a\end{array}\right]-$ submatrices

## Which of these 4 components is best ??

$$
W=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & & & & & & \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & & & & & & \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & & & & & & \\
& & & b_{11} & b_{12} & & & & \\
& & & b_{21} & b_{22} & & & & \\
& & & & & c_{1} & -c_{2} & d_{1} & -d_{2} \\
& & & & c_{2} & c_{1} & d_{2} & d_{1} \\
& & & & & e_{1} & -e_{2} & f_{1} & -f_{2} \\
& & & & & e_{2} & e_{1} & f_{2} & f_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

There are 4 ways how $W$ can have rank 2 :

- One of the diagonal blocks has rank 2; with EDdeg 3, 1, and 2, respectively.
- Two first 2 blocks have rank 1; with EDdeg $3 \cdot 2=6$.
$\rightsquigarrow 3$ components of $\mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{M}_{2}$
$\rightsquigarrow 1$ component of $\mathcal{E}^{\sigma} \cap \mathcal{M}_{2}$


## Data science requires us to rethink the schism between mathematical disciplines!

## differential geometry $\Rightarrow$

 algebraic geometry $\Rightarrow$data science $\Rightarrow$

## Metric Algebraic Geometry

1 Historical Snapshot
1.1 Polars
1.2 Foci
1.3 Envelopes

2 Critical Equations
2.1 Euclidean Distance Degree
2.2 Low Rank Matrix Approximation
2.3 Invitation to Polar Degrees.

3 Computations
3.1 Gröbner Bases
3.2 The Parameter Continuation Theorem
3.3 Polynomial Homotopy Continuation.

4 Polar Degrees
4.1 Polar Varieties
4.2 Projective Duality
4.3 Chern Classes

5 Wasserstein Distance
5.1 Polyhedral Norms
5.2 Optimal Transport and Independence Models
5.3 Wasserstein meets Segre-Veronese

6 Curvature .
6.1 Plane Curves
6.2 Algebraic Varieties
6.3 Volumes of Tubular Neighborhoods

## 7 Reach and Offset

7.1 Medial Axis and Bottlenecks
7.2 Offset Hypersurfaces.
7.3 Offset Discriminant.

Springer Nature

8 Voronoi Cells
8.1 Voronoi Basics.
8.2 Algebraic Boundaries
8.3 Degree Formulas
8.4 Voronoi meets Eckhart-Young

9 Condition Numbers
9.1 Errors in Numerical Computations
9.2 Matrix Inversion and Eckhart-Young
9.3 Condition Number Theorems
9.4 Distance to the Discriminant

10 Machine Learning
10.1 Neural Networks
10.2 Convolutional Networks 10.3 Learning Varieties

11 Maximum Likelihood
11.1 Kullback-Leibler Divergence
11.2 Maximum Likelihood Degree
11.3 Scattering Equations.
11.4 Gaussian Models

12 Tensors
12.1 Tensors and their Rank
12.2 Eigenvectors and Singular Vectors
12.3 Volumes of Rank-One Varieties

13 Computer Vision
13.1 Multiview Varieties
13.2 Grassmann Tensors
13.3 3D Reconstruction from Unknown Cameras

14 Volumes
14.1 Calculus and Beyond
14.2 D-Modules
14.3 Lasserre's Method

15 Sampling.
15.1 Homology from Finite Samples
15.2 Sampling with Density Guarantees
15.3 Markov Chains on Varieties
15.4 Chow goes to Monte Carlo

