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#### Abstract

We study closures of hierarchical models which are exponential families associated with hypergraphs by decomposing the corresponding interaction spaces in a natural and transparent way. Here, we apply general results on closures of exponential families.


## 1. Introduction

The set of probability measures on a Cartesian product of finite state sets of nodes allows for the analysis of interaction structures among the nodes [DS]. An important class of such structures, the so-called graphical models, is induced by graphical representations of the interactions [Lau, St]. Given an undirected graph $G$, the set of strictly positive probability measures that satisfy corresponding Markov properties forms an exponential family [BN, Am]. Dealing with probability distributions associated with $G$ that are not necessarily strictly positive requires the study of the closure of that exponential family. In this note, we apply general results from $[\mathrm{BN}, \mathrm{CMb}]$ on closures of exponential families for the explicit (but not constructive) description of the closure of an hierarchical model associated with hypergraphs [Lau] which generalize the class of graphical models. By decomposing corresponding interaction spaces in terms of linear algebra we hope to approach a constructive method that specifies the closure of a hierarchical model.

## 2. Preliminaries

Given a non-empty finite set $\mathcal{X}$, we denote the set of probability distributions on $\mathcal{X}$ by $\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{X})$. The support of $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{X})$ is defined as $\operatorname{supp}(P):=\{x \in \mathcal{X}$ : $P(x)>0\}$. For a subset $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ we consider the set $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$ of probability vectors with support equal to $\mathcal{Y}$, and one obviously has

$$
\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{X})=\bigcup_{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}) .
$$

With the map

$$
\exp : \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}), \quad f \mapsto \frac{\exp (f)}{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \exp (f(x))},
$$

an exponential family (in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ ) is defined as the image $\exp (\mathcal{V})$ of a linear subspace $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$.

Now we assume a compositional structure of $\mathcal{X}$ induced by a set $V$ of $1 \leq$ $N<\infty$ nodes with state sets $\mathcal{X}_{v}, v \in V$. Here, we will only treat the binary case, i.e. $\mathcal{X}_{v}=\{0,1\}$ for all $v \in V$. Given a finite subset $A \subseteq V$, we write $\mathcal{X}_{A}$ instead of $\times_{v \in A} \mathcal{X}_{v}$, and we have the natural projections

$$
X_{A}: \mathcal{X}_{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{A}, \quad\left(x_{v}\right)_{v \in V} \mapsto\left(x_{v}\right)_{v \in A}
$$

With a probability vector $P$ on $\mathcal{X}_{V}$, the $X_{A}$ become random variables.

We use the compositional structure of $\mathcal{X}_{V}$ in order to define exponential families in $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{X}_{V}\right)$ given by interaction spaces. We decompose $x \in \mathcal{X}_{V}$ in the form $x=\left(x_{A}, x_{V \backslash A}\right)$ with $x_{A} \in \mathcal{X}_{A}, x_{V \backslash A} \in \mathcal{X}_{V \backslash A}$, and define $\mathcal{I}_{A}$ to be the subspace of functions that do not depend on the configurations $x_{V \backslash A}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{A}:=\left\{f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}:\right. & f\left(x_{A}, x_{V \backslash A}\right)=f\left(x_{A} x_{V \backslash A}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \text { for all } \left.x_{A} \in \mathcal{X}_{A}, \text { and all } x_{V \backslash A}, x_{V \backslash A}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{X}_{V \backslash A}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the following, we apply these interaction spaces as building blocks for more general interaction spaces and associated exponential families [DS]. The most general construction is based on a set of subsets of $V$, a so-called hypergraph [Lau]. Given such a set $\mathscr{A} \subseteq 2^{V}$, we define the corresponding interaction space by

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\mathscr{A}}:=\sum_{A \in \mathscr{A}} \mathcal{I}_{A}
$$

and consider the corresponding exponential family $\mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}}:=\exp \left(\mathcal{I}_{\mathscr{A}}\right)$.

## Example 1.

(1) Graphical models: Let $G=(V, E)$ be an undirected graph, and define

$$
\mathscr{A}_{G}:=\{C \subseteq V: C \text { is a clique with respect to } G\} .
$$

Here, a clique is a set $C$ that satisfies the following property:

$$
a, b \in C, a \neq b \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text { there is an edge between } a \text { and } b .
$$

The exponential family $\mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}_{G}}$ is characterized by Markov properties with respect to $G$ (see [Lau]).
(2) Interaction order: The hypergraph associated with a given interaction order $k \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, N\}$ is defined as

$$
\mathscr{A}_{k}:=\{A \subseteq V:|A| \leq k\} .
$$

This gives us a corresponding hierarchy of exponential families studied in [Am, AK]:

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}_{0}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}_{1}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}_{2}} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}_{N}}=\mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{X}_{V}\right)
$$

In Example (3), we will discuss $\mathscr{A}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}}, i=1,2$, in the case of two units.

## 3. Problem Statement and the Main Result

Given a complete hypergraph $\mathscr{A}$ (i.e. $A \in \mathscr{A}, B \subseteq A \Rightarrow B \in \mathscr{A}$ ), we consider the closure $\operatorname{cl} \mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}}$ of the exponential family $\mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}}$, and the map

$$
\operatorname{supp}: \operatorname{cl} \mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{X}_{V}}, \quad P \mapsto \operatorname{supp}(P)
$$

that assigns to each $P \in \operatorname{cl} \mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}}$ the support $\operatorname{supp}(P)$. In our main result (Theorem 2) we characterize the image of this map. To this end, we define the following family of functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{A}: \mathcal{X}_{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto(-1)^{E(A, x)}, \quad(A \in \mathscr{A}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E(A, x)$ denotes the number of entries of $x$ in $A$ that are equal to one. More formally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(A, x):=\left|\left\{v \in A: X_{v}(x)=1\right\}\right| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, the functions $e_{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}_{V}}$ can be represented by the canonical basis $e_{x}, x \in \mathcal{X}_{V}$, as follows:

$$
e_{A}=\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}_{V}}(-1)^{E(A, x)} e_{x}
$$

Now fix an arbitrary numbering of $\mathscr{A} \backslash\{\emptyset\}$, set $s:=|\mathscr{A}|-1$, and consider the following composed map:

$$
e_{\mathscr{A}}: \mathcal{X}_{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{s}, \quad x \mapsto\left(e_{A_{1}}(x), \ldots, e_{A_{s}}(x)\right)
$$

The image of this map is a subset of the extreme points $\{-1,1\}^{s}$ of the hypercube in $\mathbb{R}^{s}$. Note that for $\mathscr{A}_{1}$ (see Example 1), the image of $e_{\mathscr{A}_{1}}$ coincides with $\{-1,1\}^{s}$. In general this is not the case, and Example 3 will illustrate this.

Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathscr{A}}$ denote the set of (non-empty) faces of the polytope in $\mathbb{R}^{s}$ spanned by the image of $e_{\mathscr{A}}$. Our main result characterizes the support sets of the closure of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}}$ in terms of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathscr{A}}$ :

Theorem 2. A subset $\mathcal{Y}$ of $\mathcal{X}_{V}$ is the support set of an element of $\operatorname{cl} \mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}}$ if and only if it is the preimage of a face $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathscr{A}}$ with respect to the map $e_{\mathscr{A}}$.

The proof of the theorem will follow in Section 4.4. To illustrate the statement we consider the following instructive example:

Example 3. Consider the case of two binary units. We have $V=\{1,2\}$, $\mathcal{X}_{1}=\mathcal{X}_{2}=\{0,1\}$, and therefore $\mathcal{X}_{V}=\{(0,0),(0,1),(1,0),(1,1)\}$. The set of probability distributions is the three-dimensional simplex whose extreme points are the Dirac measures $\delta_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}, x_{1}, x_{2} \in\{0,1\}$ (see Figure 1). As mentioned in Example 1 (2), we are going to discuss interactions of order one and two:
(1) For interactions of order one we have

$$
\mathscr{A}_{1}=\{\emptyset,\{1\},\{2\}\} .
$$

The exponential family $\mathcal{E}_{1}:=\mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}_{1}}$ coincides with the set of probability measures that factor over the two units. (It can be seen that $P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=P_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) P_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow$ $\left.P \in \mathcal{E}_{1}\right)$.


Figure 1. The exponential family $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ in the simplex of probability distributions.

The interaction space $\mathcal{I}_{\mathscr{A}_{1}}$ has dimension three, and one natural orthonormal basis (see Section 4.3) is the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{\emptyset} & =(1,1,1,1) \\
e_{\{1\}} & =(1,1,-1,-1)  \tag{3}\\
e_{\{2\}} & =(1,-1,1,-1) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the components are chosen with respect to the ordering ( $e_{00}, e_{01}, e_{10}, e_{11}$ ) of the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{\left(\{0,1\}^{2}\right)}$. The composed map is given as

$$
e_{\mathscr{A}_{1}}: \mathcal{X}_{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad x \mapsto\left(e_{\{1\}}(x), e_{\{2\}}(x)\right)
$$

The image of that map consists of the four points $(-1,-1),(1,-1),(-1,1),(1,1)$ which have the square in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ as their convex hull. Denoting the convex hull of points $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$ by $\left[p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right]$, we have the following (non-empty) faces in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathscr{A}_{1}}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{1}=[(-1,-1),(-1,1),(1,-1),(1,1)] \\
F_{2}=[(-1,-1),(-1,1)] \\
F_{4}=[(-1,1),(1,1)] \\
F_{3}=[(-1,-1),(1,-1)] \\
F_{6}=\{(-1,-1)\} \quad F_{7}=\{(-1,1)\}
\end{gathered} \begin{aligned}
& F_{8}=\{(1,-1)\} \quad F_{9}=\{(1,1)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The face $F_{1}$ is the square itself, $F_{2}$ to $F_{5}$ are the four edges, and $F_{6}$ to $F_{9}$ are the extreme points of the square. By Theorem $2, \mathcal{Y}_{i}:=e_{\mathscr{A}_{1}}^{-1}\left(F_{i}\right)$ are all support sets of probability measures in $\operatorname{cl} \mathcal{E}_{1}$ (compare with Figure 1):

\[

\]

(2) Now we consider the hypergraph of interactions of oder two, i.e.

$$
\mathscr{A}_{2}=\{\emptyset,\{1\},\{2\},\{1,2\}\} .
$$

The exponential family $\mathcal{E}_{2}:=\mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{L}_{2}}$ coincides with the whole simplex shown in Figure 1. The interaction space has dimension four, and the vector $e_{\{1,2\}}=$ ( $1,-1,-1,1$ ) completes the basis (3) to an orthonormal basis of the space $\mathcal{I}_{\mathscr{A}_{2}}$. The image of $e_{\mathscr{A}_{2}}$ is given by $\{(-1,-1,1),(-1,1,-1),(1,-1,-1),(1,1,1)\}$ which is a subset of the extreme points of the cube in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. It defines a simplex which is the image of the simplex in Figure 1 under the map $P \mapsto \mathbb{E}_{P}\left(e_{\mathscr{d}_{2}}\right)$ (see Figure 2).


Figure 2. The convex hull of $\operatorname{im} e_{\mathscr{\mathscr { Q } _ { 2 }}}=\operatorname{im}\left(e_{\{1\}}, e_{\{2\}}, e_{\{1,2\}}\right)$ inside the cube in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

The faces in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathscr{d}_{2}}$ are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{1}=[(-1,-1,1),(-1,1,-1),(1,-1,-1),(1,1,1)] \\
F_{2}=[(-1,-1,1),(-1,1,-1),(1,1,1)] \quad F_{3}=[(-1,-1,1),(-1,1,-1),(1,1,1)] \\
F_{4}=[(-1,-1,1),(1,-1,-1),(1,1,1)] \quad F_{5}=[(-1,1,-1),(1,-1,-1),(1,1,1)] \\
F_{6}=[(-1,1,-1),(1,-1,-1)] \quad F_{7}=[(1,1,1),(1,-1,-1)] \\
F_{8}=[(1,1,1),(-1,1,-1)] \quad F_{9}=[(1,1,1),(-1,-1,1)] \\
F_{10}=[(-1,1,=1),(1,-1,-1)] \\
F_{11}=[(-1,-1,1),(1,-1,-1)] \\
F_{12}=\{(-1,1,-1)\} \quad F_{13}=\{(-1,-1,1)\} \\
F_{14}=\{(1,-1,-1)\} \quad F_{15}=\{(1,1,1)\}
\end{gathered}
$$

The face $F_{1}$ is the nothing but the simplex in Figure 2, $F_{2}$ to $F_{5}$ are its four triangles, $F_{6}$ to $F_{11}$ are the six edges, and the remaining faces are the extreme points. The preimages of these faces with respect to the map $e_{\mathscr{L}_{2}}$ are exactly the 15 non-empty subsets of $\{0,1\}^{2}$.

## 4. Proof of the Main Result

In this section, we are going to prove our main result in several steps. In the first step, we review a classical result of $[\mathrm{BN}, \mathrm{CMb}]$ on closures of exponential families. The second step deals with the decomposition of the interaction spaces $\mathcal{I}_{\mathscr{A}}$ into orthogonal components, and a natural basis is constructed. Based on these two steps, finally, the proof of Theorem 2 is a straightforward implication.
4.1. Closures of exponential families. In a recent paper [CMb] the different closures and extensions of exponential families were studied. As a special case of this considerations, namely the case of finite configuration spaces, a classical result of [BN, pp. 154-155] appears. It is shown that $\mathrm{cl} \mathcal{E}$ can be written as a union of certain exponential families. To explain this we have to introduce some further notation. Let

$$
P_{\theta, f}(x):=\frac{1}{Z} \exp (\langle\theta, f(x)\rangle)
$$

be a Gibbs measure, where $Z=\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \exp (\langle\theta, f(x)\rangle)$ is a normalization, $f$ : $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a statistic, and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a vector of coefficients. As $\theta$ ranges over $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ the $P_{\theta}$ form an exponential family which we denote by

$$
\mathcal{E}_{f}:=\left\{P_{\theta, f}: \theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right\} .
$$

Since $\mathcal{X}$ is finite, the image of $f$ is a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, and its convex hull $\mathcal{F}$ is a polytope. For every non-empty face $F$ of $\mathcal{F}$ define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Y}^{F}:=\{x \in \mathcal{X}: f(x) \in F\}=f^{-1}(F) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, for every $\mathcal{Y}^{F}$ consider the restriction

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Y}^{F}, f}:=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{1}{Z^{F}} \exp (\langle\theta, f\rangle(x)), & \text { if } x \in \mathcal{Y}^{F} \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}, \quad Z^{F}:=\sum_{x^{\prime} \in \mathcal{Y}^{F}} \exp \left(\langle\theta, f\rangle\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right.
$$

The following statement is a special case of a more general result of [CMb]:

## Theorem 4.

$$
\operatorname{cl}\left(\mathcal{E}_{f}\right)=\bigcup_{F} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Y}^{F}, f}
$$

Remark. The formulation given here is a special case of the considerations in [CMa, CMb] where more general sets $\mathcal{X}$ and corresponding reference measures are studied in detail within the context of various notions of closure. In our case of finite $\mathcal{X}$ all notions coincide with the natural topological closure.
4.2. Orthogonal decomposition of the interaction space. In this section, we decompose the interaction space $\mathcal{I}_{\mathscr{A}}$ into orthogonal components by means of the construction of a basis. We then have an explicit description of the statistic that generates $\mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}}$ and can apply Theorem 4 to examine the closure $\mathrm{cl} \mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}}$. In what follows, all concepts of projections and orthogonality are meant with respect to the scalar product

$$
\langle f, g\rangle:=\frac{1}{2^{N}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}_{V}} f(x) g(x)
$$

In previous work [DS, Lau, AK], the spaces of pure interactions among elements of $A \subseteq V$ were defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{A}:=\mathcal{I}_{A} \cap\left(\bigcap_{B \subsetneq A} \mathcal{I}_{B}^{\perp}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies an orthogonal decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{A}=\bigoplus_{B \subseteq A} \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{B} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{dim} \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{A}=1$ (see $\left.[\mathrm{AK}]\right)$. In particular, $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}_{V}}=\bigoplus_{A \subseteq V} \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{A}$.
4.3. A basis of the pure interaction spaces. In Proposition 6, we prove that the finctions $e_{A}, A \subseteq V$, which are defined according to (1) form an orthonormal basis of the interaction space $\mathcal{I}_{\mathscr{A}}$. To this end we need the following lemma:

Lemma 5. Let $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq V$, then

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}_{V}}(-1)^{E(A, x)}=0
$$

Proof. Let $i$ be an element of $A$, and define

$$
\mathcal{X}_{-}:=\left\{x \in \mathcal{X}_{V}: X_{i}(x)=1\right\}, \quad \mathcal{X}_{+}:=\left\{x \in \mathcal{X}_{V}: X_{i}(x)=0\right\}
$$

Obviously, $E(A, x)=E(A \backslash\{i\}, x)+1$ if $x \in \mathcal{X}_{-}$, and $E(A, x)=E(A \backslash\{i\}, x)$ if $x \in \mathcal{X}_{+}$. This implies

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}_{V}}(-1)^{E(A, x)}=\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}_{+}}(-1)^{E(A \backslash\{i\}, x)}-\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}_{-}}(-1)^{E(A \backslash\{i\}, x)}=0
$$

Proposition 6. The vectors $\left(e_{A}\right)_{A \in \mathscr{A}}$ form an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathscr{A}}$.
Proof. The $e_{A}$ are normalized with respect to our scalar product. Since $\mathscr{A}$ is assumed to be complete, we have the decomposition

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\mathscr{A}}=\bigoplus_{A \in \mathscr{A}} \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{A}
$$

where $\operatorname{dim} \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{A}=1$, and it is sufficient to show that $e_{A} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{A}$. The case of $e_{\emptyset}$ is clear since $e_{\emptyset}=\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}_{V}} e_{x}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\emptyset}=\mathcal{I}_{\emptyset}$ is the space of constants. Now let $A$ be non-empty and observe that, denoting by $\Pi_{B}$ the projection onto $\mathcal{I}_{B}$, the definition (5) of the pure interaction spaces can be reformulated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{A} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad f \in \mathcal{I}_{A} \text { and } \Pi_{B} f=0 \text { for all } B \subsetneq A \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The projection onto the space $\mathcal{I}_{A}$ is given by

$$
\Pi_{A}(f)\left(x_{A}, x_{V \backslash A}\right)=\frac{1}{2^{|V \backslash A|}} \sum_{x_{V \backslash A}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{X}_{V \backslash A}} f\left(x_{A}, x_{V \backslash A}^{\prime}\right)
$$

We now check property (7):

$$
\Pi_{A}\left(e_{A}\right)\left(x_{A}, x_{V \backslash A}\right)=\frac{1}{2^{|V \backslash A|}} \sum_{x_{V \backslash A}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{X}_{V \backslash A}} e_{A}\left(x_{A}, x_{V \backslash A}^{\prime}\right)=e_{A}
$$

This follows from the fact that changing $x$ outside $A$ does not alter the values in (1). On the other hand, for a given subset $B \subsetneq A$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{B}\left(e_{A}\right)\left(x_{B}, x_{V \backslash B}\right) & =\frac{1}{2^{|V \backslash B|}} \sum_{x_{V \backslash B}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{X}_{V \backslash B}} e_{A}\left(x_{B}, x_{V \backslash B}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{|V \backslash B|}} \sum_{x_{V \backslash B}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{X}_{V \backslash B}}(-1)^{E\left(A,\left(x_{B}, x_{V \backslash B}^{\prime}\right)\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{|V \backslash B|}} \sum_{x_{V \backslash B}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{X}_{V \backslash B}}(-1)^{\left(E\left(B,\left(x_{B}, x_{V \backslash B}\right)\right)+E\left(A \backslash B,\left(x_{B}, x_{V \backslash B}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

This equation is true since $(-1)^{E\left(B,\left(x_{B}, x_{V \backslash B}^{\prime}\right)\right.}$ does not depend on $x_{V \backslash B}^{\prime}$, and, since $A \backslash B \neq \emptyset$, Lemma 5 implies

$$
\sum_{x^{\prime} \in \mathcal{X}_{V \backslash B}}(-1)^{E\left(A \backslash B, x^{\prime}\right)}=0 .
$$

Remark (Orthonormal Basis). Since the $e_{A}$ form an orthonormal basis, one can invert the transformation to find

$$
e_{x}=\frac{1}{2^{N}} \sum_{A \in 2^{V}}(-1)^{E(A, x)} e_{A}
$$

and obviously none of the coefficients is zero.
Combining the results of the previous sections we can now proceed with proving Theorem 2.

### 4.4. Proof.

Proof of Theorem 2. ¿From the above discussion it is clear that the exponential family under consideration can be written as

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}}=\left\{\frac{1}{Z} \exp \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{s} \theta^{A_{i}} e_{A_{i}}(x)\right\}: \theta=\left(\theta^{A_{i}}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, s} \in \mathbb{R}^{s}\right\}
$$

Thus, the exponential family has the form of Theorem 4

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mathscr{A}}=\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathscr{A}}} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Y}^{F}, e_{\mathscr{A}}}
$$

with the definition (4) of $\mathcal{Y}^{F}$ now becoming

$$
\mathcal{Y}^{F}=e_{\mathscr{A}}^{-1}(F)
$$

## 5. Conclusions

Applying general results on closures of exponential families from [BN, CMb ] we studied the closure of hierarchical models including graphical models. Using a natural orthonormal basis of the corresponding interaction space allows for an explicit description of this closure. We hope that this description in terms of linear algebra will lead to a constructive method for specifying closures of hierarchical models.
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