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#### Abstract

Let $Q=(0,1)^{2}$ be the unit square in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. We prove that in a suitable complete metric space of $B V$ homeomorphisms $f: Q \rightarrow Q$ with $f_{\mid \partial Q}=I d$, the generical homeomorphism (in the sense of Baire categories) maps a null set in a set of full measure and vice versa. Moreover we observe that, for $1 \leq p<2$, in the most reasonable complete metric space for such problem, the family of $W^{1, p}$ homemomorphisms satisfying the above property is of first category, instead. KEyWORDS: Sobolev homeomorphism, Baire categories, piecewise affine homeomorphism.
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## 1. Introduction

Denote by $|\cdot|_{\infty}$ the norm on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ given by

$$
|(a, b, c, d)|_{\infty}=\max \{|a|,|b|,|c|,|d|\}
$$

Let $Q=(0,1)^{2}$ be the open unit square in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Consider a $B V$ map $f: Q \rightarrow Q$ let us denote by $f_{1}, f_{2}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ its components, relative to the usual coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Denote the variation ${ }^{1}$ of $f$ in $Q$ by

$$
\operatorname{Var}(f, Q):=\sup \left\{\int_{Q}\left(f_{1} \operatorname{div} \phi_{1}+f_{2} \operatorname{div} \phi_{2}\right) d x:|\phi(x)|_{\infty} \leq 1 \text { for all } x \in Q\right\}
$$

where $\phi=\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) \in C_{c}^{1}\left(Q, \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and the integration is with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Fix a constant $M>2$. We introduce the set
$X:=\left\{f: Q \rightarrow Q: f\right.$ is a $B V$ homeomorphism, $\left.f_{\mid \partial Q}=I d, \operatorname{Var}(f, Q)<M\right\}$, and the distance on $X$

$$
d(f, g):=\|f-g\|_{\infty}+\left\|f^{-1}-g^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}+\left|\frac{1}{M-\operatorname{Var}(f, Q)}-\frac{1}{M-\operatorname{Var}(g, Q)}\right|
$$

We will prove in Section 1 that $(X, d)$ is a complete metric space. Now let us consider the following subset of $X$ :

$$
A:=\{f \in X: \exists E \subset Q,|E|=0,|f(E)|=1\}
$$

[^0]The main result of the present paper is the following
1.1. Theorem. The set $A$ is residual in $X$, i.e. it contains the intersection of countably many open dense subsets of $X$.

The Baire theorem (see for instance [8]) implies that the set $A$ is non-empty and, more precisely, that it is dense in $X$.

To prove Theorem 1.1, we introduce the following family of subsets of $X$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote

$$
A_{n}:=\{f \in X: \exists E \subset Q,|E|<1 / n,|f(E)|>1-1 / n\},
$$

where the set $E$ is a union of finitely many pairwise disjoint open triangles (the number of such triangles may depend both on $n$ and on the function $f$ ).

Since it is easy to see that the set $A$ contains the intersection of the $A_{n}$ 's (see Section 4), to prove Theorem 1.1 it is sufficient to show that the sets $A_{n}$ are open and dense in $X$. The openness is an easy issue (see Lemma 4.1), while density is more delicate (see Lemma 4.2), so we will give here a sketch of the proof of the second property. The actual proof of both properties is postponed to Section 4.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}, f \in X$ and $\varepsilon>0$. We want to find $f_{\varepsilon} \in A_{n}$ with $d\left(f, f_{\varepsilon}\right)<\varepsilon$. Firstly we use a result of $[7]$ to find an orientation preserving, (finitely) piecewise affine homeomorphism $g_{\varepsilon} \in X$ with

$$
d\left(f, g_{\varepsilon}\right)<\varepsilon / 4
$$

Then we take a finite triangulation of $Q$ such that $g_{\varepsilon}$ is affine on each triangle. If necessary, we can refine such triangulation in order to obtain a new finite triangulation $\tau$ such that the diameter of all triangles $T \in \tau$ and of their images through $g_{\varepsilon}$ do not exceed ${ }^{2} \varepsilon / 8$.

Finally we modify the homeomorphism $g_{\varepsilon}$ inside each triangle $T \in \tau$ in order to obtain a new orientation preserving homeomorphism $f_{\varepsilon} \in X$ with the following properties:
(1) $f_{\varepsilon}$ is (finitely) piecewise affine on each triangle $T \in \tau$;
(2) for every $T \in \tau$

$$
f_{\varepsilon \mid \partial T}=g_{\varepsilon \mid \partial T} ;
$$

(3) $\left|\frac{1}{M-\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{\varepsilon}, Q\right)}-\frac{1}{M-\operatorname{Var}\left(g_{\varepsilon}, Q\right)}\right| \leq \varepsilon / 2$;
(4) for every $T \in \tau$ there exists a set $F \subset T$ which is the union of finitely many disjoint open triangles and satisfies

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Area}(F)}{\operatorname{Area}(T)}<1 / n ; \frac{\operatorname{Area}\left(f_{\varepsilon}(F)\right)}{\operatorname{Area}\left(f_{\varepsilon}(T)\right)}>1-1 / n .
$$

[^1]Clearly the property of the triangulation $\tau$ implies that

$$
=\left\|f_{\varepsilon}-g_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|f_{\varepsilon}^{-1}-g_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}<\varepsilon / 4
$$

and, together with property (3), this implies that $d\left(f, f_{\varepsilon}\right)<\varepsilon$. Moreover properties $(1),(2)$ and (4) imply that $f_{\varepsilon} \in A_{n}$.

The construction of $f_{\varepsilon}$ starting from $g_{\varepsilon}$ uses a piecewise affine homeomorphism $\phi_{n}$ (defined in Section 3), which maps a square $Q$ to a parallelogram $P$ and coincides with an affinity on the boundary. This map is similar in spirit to the "basic building block" used in [3]. The main difference between the two maps is that, although in both cases the aim is to map a small subset $F$ of $Q$ in a (proportionally) much larger set $F^{\prime}$, with a small cost in the variation ${ }^{3}$, we want in addition that $F^{\prime}$ is almost a set of full measure in $P$.
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## 2. The metric space $X$

In this section we prove that the pair $(X, d)$ defined in the Introduction actually identifies a complete metric space. Since there are no doubts that $d$ defines a metric on $X$, we will focus on the completeness.
2.1. Proposition. The metric space $(X, d)$ is complete.

Proof. It is a well known result in functional analysis that a sequence $\left(f_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $B V$ maps which is Cauchy with respect to the supremum norm and such that the variations $\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{i}, Q\right)<M$ are equi-bounded converges to a $B V$ map $f$ with $^{1}$ $\operatorname{Var}(f, Q) \leq M$. We need to prove that if the sequence is Cauchy with respect to the distance $d$, then the limit $f$ remains a homeomorphism, and moreover $\operatorname{Var}(f, Q)<M$. To prove that $f$ is a homeomorphism it is sufficient to observe that the sequence of continuous functions $\left(f_{i}^{-1}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy with respect to the supremum norm and therefore it converges to a continuous function $g$ which is the inverse ${ }^{2}$ of $f$.

Assume now by contradiction that $\operatorname{Var}(f, Q)=M$. The lower semicontinuity of the variation with respect to the uniform convergence implies that

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Var}\left(f_{i}, Q\right)=M
$$

which implies that, for every fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the quantity

$$
\left|\frac{1}{M-\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{m}, Q\right)}-\frac{1}{M-\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{j}, Q\right)}\right|
$$

[^2]is unbounded in $j$, hence $\left(f_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not Cauchy with respect to $d$.
The completeness of the metric space $(X, d)$ is necessary to be able to apply the Baire theorem. A non-strict inequality on the variation in the definition of $X$ would be probably a more natural choice (in particular we could drop the last term in the definition of $d$ ). Nevertheless we introduced such metric space, because in order both to perform the piecewise affine approximation of [7] and to modify such approximation using the homeomorphism $\phi_{n}$, we may need to increase the variation of a small quantity. It is actually possible to circumvent this issue even in the setting mentioned above, i.e. when we just require $\operatorname{Var}(f, Q) \leq M$ : indeed it is sufficient to approximate preliminarily a BV homeomorphism $f$ by homeomorphisms having smaller variation. This can be always achieved if $\operatorname{Var}(f, Q)>2$, by "interpolating" a contraction of the original homeomorphism $f$ in a square concentric to $Q$ and the identity on the outer frame.

## 3. THE HOMEOMORPHISM $\phi_{n}$

Let $(x, y)$ denote the usual coordinates on the plane and let $Q$ denote the unit square $[0,1] \times[0,1]$. Consider a linear map

$$
A:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\operatorname{det}(A)>0$. Clearly $A$ identifies the linear, orientation preserving homeomorphism $\psi$ which maps the points $(0,1)$ and $(1,0)$ in $(a, c)$ and $(b, d)$ respectively. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}, n>2$. We will define a piecewise affine, orientation preserving homeomorphism $\phi_{n}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\phi_{n}, Q\right) \leq\left(1+\left(2 / n^{1 / 2}\right)\right) \operatorname{Var}(\psi, Q)
$$

and $\phi_{n \mid \partial Q}=\psi_{\mid \partial Q}$. Moreover we construct $\phi_{n}$ in such a way that there exists a set $F \subset Q$ which is a union of finitely many disjoint open triangles, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F|<\frac{1}{n^{1 / 2}} ;\left|\phi_{n}(F)\right| \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n}\right)\left(1-\left(1 / n^{1 / 2}\right)\right) \operatorname{det}(A) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $i=0, \ldots, n^{2}-1$, let $R_{i}$ be the rectangle

$$
R_{i}:=[0,1] \times\left[i / n^{2},(i+1) / n^{2}\right]
$$

Denote

$$
R^{\prime}:=[1 / n, 1-(1 / n)] \times\left[0,1 / n^{5 / 2}\right] \subset R_{0}
$$

and

$$
R^{\prime \prime}:=[1 / n, 1-(1 / n)] \times\left[1 / n^{5 / 2}, 1 / n^{2}\right] \subset R_{0}
$$

Finally consider $R_{0} \backslash\left(R^{\prime} \cup R^{\prime \prime}\right)$. We define a partition of the left rectangle

$$
R^{\prime \prime \prime}:=[0,1 / n] \times\left[0,1 / n^{2}\right]
$$

and on the right rectangle we define the symmetric partition with respect to the axis $x=1 / 2$. Let us write

$$
R^{\prime \prime \prime}=T_{1} \cup T_{2} \cup T_{3} \cup T_{4}
$$

where (see Figure 1):

- $T_{1}$ has vertices in $(0,0),(1 / n, 0)$ and $\left(0,1 / n^{5 / 2}\right)$,
- $T_{2}$ has vertices in $\left(0,1 / n^{5 / 2}\right),(1 / n, 0)$ and $\left(1 / n, 1 / n^{5 / 2}\right)$,
- $T_{3}$ has vertices in $\left(0,1 / n^{5 / 2}\right),\left(1 / n, 1 / n^{5 / 2}\right)$ and $\left(1 / n, 1 / n^{2}\right)$,
- $T_{4}$ has vertices in $\left(0,1 / n^{5 / 2}\right),\left(1 / n, 1 / n^{2}\right)$ and $\left(0,1 / n^{2}\right)$.


Figure 1. Tiling of the square $Q$.

Now we will define the homeomorphism $\phi_{n}$ in the rectangle $R_{0}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n \mid \partial R_{0}}=\psi_{\mid \partial R_{0}} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we will be able to extend $\phi_{n}$ to the square $Q$, requiring its continuity and defining, for every $(x, y) \in \operatorname{int}\left(R_{i}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n}(x, y)=\phi_{n}\left((x, y)-\left(0, i / n^{2}\right)\right)+i / n^{2}(b, d) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. Representation of the map $\phi_{n}$.
(notice that the point $\left((x, y)-\left(0, i / n^{2}\right)\right)$ belongs to $\left.\operatorname{int}\left(R_{0}\right)\right)$. We define $\phi_{n}$ on $R^{\prime}$ as the linear map $\phi_{n}(x, y)=A^{\prime}(x, y)^{t}$, where

$$
A^{\prime}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & \left(n^{1 / 2}-1\right) b \\
c & \left(n^{1 / 2}-1\right) d
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The map $\phi_{n}$ is now uniquely defined on $Q$ by the conditions (3.2), (3.3) and by the requirement that $\phi_{n}$ is continuous on $Q$ and affine on $R^{\prime}, R^{\prime \prime}$ and on the triangles $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{4}$ (and on their symmetric copies).

In particular on $R^{\prime \prime}$ there holds

$$
\nabla \phi_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & \left(1 /\left(n^{1 / 2}-1\right)\right) b \\
c & \left(1 /\left(n^{1 / 2}-1\right)\right) d
\end{array}\right)
$$

Denoting $F$ the set ${ }^{1}$

$$
F:=\bigcup_{i=0}^{n^{2}-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(R^{\prime}\right)+\left(0, i / n^{2}\right)\right),
$$

it is easy to see that (3.1) holds. Notice also that $\phi_{n}=\psi$ on $T_{1}$ and $T_{4}$.

[^3]We now want to compute the variation $\operatorname{Var}\left(\phi_{n}, Q\right)$. Since $\phi_{n}$ is piecewise affine, this is equivalent to compute the energy

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\phi_{n}\right):=\int_{Q}\left|\nabla \phi_{n}\right|_{1} d x
$$

where we denoted by $|\cdot|_{1}$ the norm on $\operatorname{Mat}(2 \times 2)$ given by

$$
\left|\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\right|_{1}=|a|+|b|+|c|+|d| .
$$

By construction, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\phi_{n}\right)=n^{2} \mathbb{E}\left(\phi_{n \mid R_{0}}\right)
$$

Moreover, by the 1-homogeneity of the energy, it is easy to compute

$$
\begin{gather*}
n^{2} \mathbb{E}\left(\phi_{n \mid R^{\prime}}\right)=  \tag{3.4}\\
n^{2}(1-(2 / n))\left(1 / n^{5 / 2}\right)\left|\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & \left(n^{1 / 2}-1\right) b \\
c & \left(n^{1 / 2}-1\right) d
\end{array}\right)\right|_{1}= \\
(1-(2 / n))\left|\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(1 / n^{1 / 2}\right) a & \left(1-\left(1 / n^{1 / 2}\right)\right) b \\
\left(1 / n^{1 / 2}\right) c & \left(1-\left(1 / n^{1 / 2}\right)\right) d
\end{array}\right)\right|_{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

and analogously

$$
\begin{gather*}
n^{2} \mathbb{E}\left(\phi_{n \mid R^{\prime \prime}}\right)=  \tag{3.5}\\
n^{2}(1-(2 / n))\left(\left(1 / n^{2}\right)-\left(1 / n^{5 / 2}\right)\right)\left|\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & \left(1 /\left(n^{1 / 2}-1\right)\right) b \\
c & \left(1 /\left(n^{1 / 2}-1\right)\right) d
\end{array}\right)\right|_{1}= \\
(1-(2 / n))\left|\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(1-\left(1 / n^{1 / 2}\right)\right) a & \left(1 / n^{1 / 2}\right) b \\
\left(1-\left(1 / n^{1 / 2}\right)\right) c & \left(1 / n^{1 / 2}\right) d
\end{array}\right)\right|_{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.5) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\phi_{n \mid\left(R^{\prime} \cup R^{\prime \prime}\right)}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\psi_{\mid\left(R^{\prime} \cup R^{\prime \prime}\right)}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Regarding the energy of $\phi_{n}$ in the triangles $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{4}$, we have, trivially

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\phi_{n \mid T_{1}}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\psi_{\mid T_{1}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\phi_{n \mid T_{4}}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\psi_{\mid T_{4}}\right)
$$

Moreover it is easy to compute that, on $T_{2}$, we have

$$
\nabla \phi_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a+\left((1 / n)-2 / n^{3 / 2}\right) b & \left(n^{1 / 2}-1\right) b \\
c+\left((1 / n)-2 / n^{3 / 2}\right) d & \left(n^{1 / 2}-1\right) d
\end{array}\right)
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla \phi_{n}\right|_{1} \leq n^{1 / 2}|\nabla \psi|_{1} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally on $T_{3}$, we have

$$
\nabla \phi_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a+\left((1 / n)-2 / n^{3 / 2}\right) b & \left(1 /\left(n^{1 / 2}-1\right)\right) b \\
c+\left((1 / n)-2 / n^{3 / 2}\right) d & \left(1 /\left(n^{1 / 2}-1\right)\right) d
\end{array}\right)
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla \phi_{n}\right|_{1} \leq 2|\nabla \psi|_{1} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.6) with ${ }^{2}$ (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\phi_{n}\right) \leq\left(1+\left(2 / n^{1 / 2}\right)\right) \mathbb{E}(\psi) .
$$

Let us summarize the conclusions of the above computations in the following ${ }^{3}$
3.1. Proposition. For every affine homeomeorphism $\phi$ defined on a square $Q$ with edges parallel to the coordinate lines and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists piecewise affine map $\phi_{n}$ on $Q$ and a set $F_{n}$ which is a finite union of disjoint open triangles such that
(1) $\left|\operatorname{Var}\left(\phi_{n}, Q\right)-\operatorname{Var}(\phi, Q)\right| \leq(1 / n) \operatorname{Var}(\phi, Q)$;
(2) $\phi_{n \mid \partial Q}=\phi_{\mid \partial Q}$;
(3)

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Area}\left(F_{n}\right)}{\operatorname{Area}(Q)}<1 / n ; \frac{\operatorname{Area}\left(\phi_{n}\left(F_{n}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{Area}(\phi(Q))}>1-1 / n .
$$

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We have to prove that the sets $A_{n}$ defined in the Introduction are open and dense in ( $X, d$ ).
4.1. Lemma. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $A_{n}$ is open.

Proof. Take $f \in A_{n}$. Let $1 / n>\varepsilon>0$ and let $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}$ be pairwise disjoint open triangles in $Q$ such that, denoting $E=\bigcup_{i} T_{i}$, there holds
(1) $|E|<1 / n-\varepsilon$;
(2) $|f(E)|>1-1 / n+\varepsilon$.

Since the image of each triangle $T_{i}$ is open, then there exists $\eta>0$ such that, denoting for every open set $B$

$$
B^{\eta}:=\left\{x \in B: \operatorname{dist}\left(x, B^{C}\right)>\eta\right\},
$$

there holds

$$
\left|f\left(T_{i}\right)^{\eta}\right| \geq(1-\varepsilon)\left|f\left(T_{i}\right)\right|,
$$

for every $i=1, \ldots, m$.
Consider now $g \in X$ with $d(f, g)<\eta$, In particular $\|f-g\|_{\infty}<\eta$, hence $g\left(T_{i}\right) \supset f\left(T_{i}\right)^{\eta}$, for every $i=1, \ldots, m$. Therefore

$$
|g(E)|=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|g\left(T_{i}\right)\right| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|f\left(T_{i}\right)^{\eta}\right| \geq(1-\varepsilon)|f(E)|>1-1 / n .
$$

Hence $g \in A_{n}$.
4.2. Lemma. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $A_{n}$ is dense in $X$.

[^4]Proof. Fix $f \in X$ and $\varepsilon>0$. We want to find $f_{\varepsilon} \in A_{n}$ with $d\left(f, f_{\varepsilon}\right)<$ $\varepsilon$. $\mathrm{By}^{1}$ the result of [7], we can find a sequence of (finitely) piecewise affine homeomorphisms $\left(g_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}: Q \rightarrow Q$ such that ${ }^{2}$
(1) $g_{i \mid \partial Q}=I d$;
(2) $\left\|g_{i}-f\right\|_{\infty}$ tends to 0 for $i \rightarrow \infty$;
(3) $\left\|g_{i}^{-1}-f^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}$ tends to 0 for $i \rightarrow \infty$;
(4) $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Var}\left(g_{i}, Q\right) \leq \operatorname{Var}(f, Q)$.

We deduce that there exists a piecewise affine homeomorphism $g_{\varepsilon} \in X$ with ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(f, g_{\varepsilon}\right)<\varepsilon / 4 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular we can assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{M-\operatorname{Var}(f, Q)}-\frac{1}{M-\operatorname{Var}\left(g_{\varepsilon}, Q\right)}\right|<\varepsilon / 8 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we take a finite triangulation of $Q$ such that $g_{\varepsilon}$ is affine on each triangle. If necessary, we can refine such triangulation in order to obtain a new finite triangulation $\tau$ such that the diameter of all triangles $T_{i} \in \tau$ and of their images through $g_{\varepsilon}$ are less than $\varepsilon / 8$.

By (4.2) we can take $m \in \mathbb{N}, m>2 n$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{M-\operatorname{Var}(f, Q)}-\frac{1}{M-C \operatorname{Var}\left(g_{\varepsilon}, Q\right)}\right|<\varepsilon / 2 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $C \in[1-(1 / m), 1+1 / m]$. We define the homeomorphism $f_{\varepsilon}$ as follows. For every $T_{i} \in \tau$ take finitely many closed squares $Q_{i}^{j}$ with pairwise disjoint interiors and with edges parallel to the coordinate lines such that $Q_{i}^{j} \subset T_{i}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bigcup_{j} Q_{i}^{j}\right| \geq(1-(1 / m))\left|T_{i}\right| \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $i, j$, define $f_{\varepsilon}$ on $Q_{i}^{j}$ as the map obtained by replacing the map $g_{\varepsilon}$ with the map $\left(g_{\varepsilon}\right)_{m}$ given $^{4}$ by Proposition 3.1. For every $i$, define $f_{\varepsilon}:=g_{\varepsilon}$ on $T_{i} \backslash\left(\bigcup_{j} Q_{i}^{j}\right)$.

[^5]By (4.1),(4.3), point (1) of Proposition 3.1 and the property of the triangulation, we have

$$
d\left(f, f_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq d\left(f, g_{\varepsilon}\right)+d\left(f_{\varepsilon}, g_{\varepsilon}\right)<((\varepsilon / 4)+(\varepsilon / 2))+(\varepsilon / 4)=\varepsilon .
$$

By point (3) of Proposition 3.1 and (4.4) we have that, denoting by $F$ the set ${ }^{5}$

$$
F:=\bigcup_{i, j}\left(F_{i}^{j}\right)_{m},
$$

there holds $|F|<1 / m$ and

$$
\left|f_{\varepsilon}(F)\right|>(1-(1 / m))(1-(1 / m))>1-(2 / m)>1-(1 / n),
$$

hence $f_{\varepsilon} \in A_{n}$.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. The only thing left to show is that $A \supset \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{n}$. Fix $f \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{n}$. In particular, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $f \in \bigcap_{i>j} A_{2^{i}}$, hence for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i>j$ there exists a set $E_{i}$ with $\left|E_{i}\right|<2^{-i}$ such that $\left|f\left(E_{i}\right)\right|>1-2^{-i}$. Therefore denoting $E^{j}:=\bigcup_{i>j} E_{i}$, we have $\left|E^{j}\right|<2^{-j}$ and $\left|f\left(E^{j}\right)\right|=1$. Since the countable intersection of sets of full measure is a set of full measure we deduce that, denoting $E:=\bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} E^{j}$, we have that $|E|=0$ and $|f(E)|=1$, hence $f \in A$.

## 5. Final remarks and open questions

5.1. $W^{1, p}$ homeomorphisms. In [3], Hencl proves that for $1 \leq p<2$ there exists a homeomorphism $f: Q \rightarrow Q$ in $W^{1, p}$ with $f_{\mid \partial Q}=I d$ satisfying $J f=0$ a.e. It turns out immediately that the set of such homemomorphisms is dense in $W^{1, p}$ with respect to the $C^{0}$-distance. Therefore a natural question is whether in a suitable complete metric space of $W^{1, p}$ homeomorphisms, these maps are residually many. For $p>1$ the most natural setting to answer this question, i.e. the most reasonable choice of a complete metric space of $W^{1, p}$ homeomorphisms is the set

$$
X:=\left\{f: Q \rightarrow Q: f \text { is a } W^{1, p} \text { homeomorphism, } f_{\mid \partial Q}=I d, \int_{Q}|D f|^{p} \leq M\right\}
$$

for an arbitrary constant $M>1$, with the distance ${ }^{1}$

$$
d(f, g):=\|f-g\|_{\infty}+\left\|f^{-1}-g^{-1}\right\|_{\infty} .
$$

In [6] the authors prove that it is possible to approximate a $W^{1, p}$ homeomorphism ( $p>1$ ) uniformly and in the $W^{1, p}$ norm by piecewise affine homeomorphisms. Nevertheless, there is no hope that homeomorphisms with zero Jacobian almost everywhere are residual in the metric space $(X, d)$, since they are not even dense. Indeed, take a homeomorphism $f \in X$ with $J f>0$ on a set of positive measure

[^6]and satisfying $\int_{Q}|D f|^{p}=M$. Assume that there exist homeomorphisms $f_{n}$ in $X$ with $d\left(f_{n}, f\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since the quantity $\int_{Q}|D f|^{p}$ is lower semicontinuous with respect to the uniform convergence, this would force
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q}\left|D f_{n}\right|^{p} \rightarrow M=\int_{Q}|D f|^{p} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In turn, since the norm on $W^{1, p}$ is uniformly convex, the uniform convergence and (5.1) imply the convergence in norm ${ }^{2}$, which forces the convergence of the Jacobians, too. In particular we can deduce that it is not possible to extend Proposition 3.1 to the setting of $W^{1, p}$ homeomorphisms: roughly speaking, in this class there is a positive minimal cost in the energy to approximate an affine homeomorphism with homeomorphisms that map a small set in a large one. Notice that, since the subset of homeomorphisms $f \in X$ satisfying $\int_{Q}|D f|^{p}=M$ is residual in $X$, then we have actually proved that the set of $W^{1, p}$ homeomorphisms with zero Jacobian almost everywhere is of first category in $X$. Indeed we have proved that the set of homeomorphisms $f \in X$ satisfying $\int_{Q}|D f|^{p}=M$ and $J f>0$ on a set of positive measure is relatively open. To prove that it is dense, we can use the same construction described at the end of Section 2. Moreover the result is independent on the dimension of the ambient space: let us summarize all these observations in the following
5.2. Theorem. Let $Q^{n}:=(0,1)^{n}$. Fix $1<p<n, M>1$. Define
$X:=\left\{f: Q^{n} \rightarrow Q^{n}: f\right.$ is a $W^{1, p}$ homeomorphism, $\left.f_{\mid \partial Q^{n}}=I d, \int_{Q^{n}}|D f|^{p} \leq M\right\}$ and the distance on $X$

$$
d(f, g):=\|f-g\|_{\infty}+\left\|f^{-1}-g^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

Then the set $A$ of all homeomorphisms $f \in X$ with $J f=0$ a.e. is of first category in $X$, i.e. $X \backslash A$ is residual in $X$.
5.3. $W^{1,1}$ homeomorphisms. In [4] the authors prove that it is possible to approximate a $W^{1,1}$ homeomorphism uniformly and in the $W^{1,1}$ norm by piecewise affine homeomorphisms. Moreover in Proposition 3.1 (1), it is equivalent to consider the variation $\operatorname{Var}(\phi, Q)$ or the energy $\mathbb{E}(\phi)$, hence if one considers the metric space $(X, d)$ as defined in the previous subsection, for $p=1$, it is not difficult to adapt the arguments presented in Section 4, to prove that the set of $W^{1,1}$ homeomorphisms mapping a set of measure smaller than $1 / n$ in a set of measure larger than $1-1 / n$ are open and dense. The issue here is that $(X, d)$ is not complete ${ }^{3}$ and the countable intersection of open dense sets might principle be empty. The completion of such space is a space of $B V$ homeomorphisms, with a uniform bound on the variation. However, such metric space is too large

[^7]for $W^{1,1}$ homeomorphisms with zero Jacobian almost everywhere to be residual. Indeed in Theorem 5.4, we show that in such metric space any subset of the set of $W^{1,1}$ homeomorphisms is of first category ${ }^{4}$. Therefore it seems that Theorem 1.1 is the best possible result of this type.
5.4. Theorem. Let $(X, d)$ be the metric space defined in the Introduction. Then the set $A$ of all $W^{1,1}$ homeomorphisms in $X$ is of first category.

Proof. Define

$$
A_{n}:=\{f \in X: \exists E \subset Q,|E|<1 / n, \operatorname{Var}(f, E)>1 / 2-1 / n\}
$$

where $E$ is the union of finitely many pairwise disjoint open triangles. Clearly the intersection of the $A_{n}$ 's does not contain any $W^{1,1}$ homeomorphism, therefore, to prove the proposition it is sufficient to show that the $A_{n}$ 's are open and dense. The openness is just a consequence of the lower semicontinuity of the variation with respect to the uniform convergence. The density can be achieved as in Lemma 4.2: it is sufficient to observe, from (3.4) that the maps $\phi_{n}, \psi$ and the set $F$ constructed in Section 3 satisfy ${ }^{5}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\phi_{n}, F\right)>(1 / 2-1 / \sqrt{n}) \operatorname{Var}(\psi, Q) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.5. Higher dimension and final remark. In the present paper we do not deal with dimension higher than 2 . The reason is that at the moment the result of [7] is available only on the plane. A possible obstruction to carry out our strategy in higher dimension is presented in [5], where the authors prove that there are $W^{1,1}$ homeomorphisms in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ which cannot be approximated in the $W^{1,1}$ norm by piecewise affine homeomorphisms.

Theorem 5.2 and 5.4 are not really the end of story. Indeed they do not exclude, in principle, that it is possible to define some "artificial" complete metric on the set of Sobolev homeomorphisms with respect to which the set of all homeomorphisms with $J f=0$ a.e. is residual.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare with [1] Definiton 3.4. We do not use the usual notation $V(f, Q)$, because we do not compute the norm of $\phi$ in the standard way. This will simplify some computations in Section 3.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This ensures that any perturbation $h$ of $g_{\varepsilon}$, which agrees with $g_{\varepsilon}$ on $\partial T$ for every $T \in \tau$, satisfies

    $$
    \left\|h-g_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|h^{-1}-g_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon / 4
    $$

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The main reason for our choice of the norm $|\cdot|_{\infty}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$, instead of the more natural Euclidean norm, is to be able to compute easily the variation of such map.
    ${ }^{1}$ See e.g. propositions 3.6 and 3.13 of [1]. Clearly our renorming of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ does not affect the validity of such statements.
    ${ }^{2}$ The fact that $g=f^{-1}$ is a trivial fact of general topology.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ More precisely, in order that $F$ is the union of disjoint open triangles, one should replace the set $\operatorname{int}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ with the union of two disjoint open triangles such that the closure of this union is $R^{\prime}$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ With similar computations, one can verify that the equations (3.7) and (3.8) are satisfied also on the symmetric copies of $T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$, respectively.
    ${ }^{3}$ In order to obtain a simpler statement, we denote by $\phi_{n}$ the map we constructed above relative to a parameter which is actually larger than $n$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ The result is clearly independent on our renorming of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Given (2), the validity of (3) is a simple consequence of the uniform continuity of $f^{-1}$. Indeed such property implies that if $\left\|g_{i}-f\right\|_{\infty}$ is small, then $\left\|g_{i}^{-1}-f^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}$ is also small. To prove it, fix $\varepsilon>0$ and let $\delta>0$ be such that if $|x-y|<\delta$ then $\left|f^{-1}(x)-f^{-1}(y)\right|<\varepsilon$. Now take $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|g_{i}-f\right\|_{\infty}<\delta$. We want to prove that $\left\|g_{i}^{-1}-f^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}<\varepsilon$. Assume by contradiction there exists $x_{0}$ such that $\left|g_{i}^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)-f^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|>\varepsilon$. Denoting $x_{1}:=g_{i}^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $x_{2}:=f^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)$, we have $\left|g_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{1}\right)\right|<\delta$. Hence, denoting $x_{3}:=f\left(x_{1}\right)$, we have $\left|x_{3}-x_{0}\right|<\delta$, but $\left|f^{-1}\left(x_{3}\right)-f^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|>\varepsilon$, which is a contradiction.
    ${ }^{3}$ Here we are also using the lower semicontinuity of the variation w.r.t. the uniform convergence.
    ${ }^{4}$ We apply such proposition with $n=m$ and $\phi=g_{\varepsilon} \mid Q_{i}^{j}$.

[^6]:    ${ }^{5}$ We denote by $\left(F_{i}^{j}\right)_{m}$ the set given by Proposition 3.1 applied to $n=m$ and $\phi=g_{\varepsilon} \mid Q_{i}^{j}$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Clearly one cannot consider as distance the natural norm of $W^{1, p}$, because the convergence in such norm would also imply the convergence of the Jacobians, almost everywhere.

[^7]:    ${ }^{2}$ In every uniformly convex space, if $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ and $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\|x\|$ then $\left\|x_{n}-x\right\| \rightarrow 0$. See proposition 3.32 of [2].
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}$ sequence of $W^{1,1}$ maps converging uniformly and with equi-bounded energies may converge to a map which is in BV but not in $W^{1,1}$.

[^8]:    ${ }^{4}$ For the sake of brevity, we prove such statement in the metric space defined in the Introduction. The same can be done, with minor changes, in the completion of the metric space defined in the previous subsection, for $p=1$.
    ${ }^{5}$ Such inequality is satisfied tout court if $|b|+|d| \geq|a|+|c|$. In case $|b|+|d|<|a|+|c|$, actually one should slightly modify the map $\phi_{n}$ to obtain (5.2): roughly speaking, it is sufficient to "switch" the coordinates $(x, y)$.

